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#### Abstract

This work describes anticancer and antioxidant biological testing for new derived compounds form cycloheptathienopyrimidine nucleus along with their synthetic approach. The anticancer activity was tested against cancerous human breast cells MCF-7 and human liver cancer cell line HEPG-2 in reference to Doxorubicin. All the tested products showed significant cytotoxic activity. Six out of twelve tested compounds showed anticancer activity even more than that of reference standard Doxorubicin against MCF-7 cell line whereas, eight compounds declared cytotoxic effect more than the reference standard against cell line HEPG-2 reflected by their $I_{50 s}$. Furthermore, six of the top ranked anticancer results were tested for their antioxidant effect with DPPH method in reference to Ascorbic acid, three of which gave $100 \%$ free radical scavenging activity.
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## INTRODUCTION

Thienopyrimidines are considered structural analogues of biogenic purine, they are endowed with variety of biological activities (Figure 1) and have been employed extensively as a scaffold in the design of compounds in agrochemical industry [1-3] and versatile biologically active compounds as enzyme inhibitors including Aurora kinase, 6 tyrosine kinases [3,4], cyclin-dependent kinase [5], Polyadp Ribose Polymerase (PARP) inhibitors [6], antifungal [7], antiviralagents [1], anticancer agents against some tumor cell lines [8-12] as colorectal cancerous cell (HCT116), Hepatic adenoma (HEPG-2), Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), cancerous breast cell (MCF-7) in addition to their reported antioxidant activity [13] which is known to be effective not only in prophylaxis but also in treatment of complicated diseases as Alzheimer, cancer, and stroke. Many of these inhibitors are currently under pre-clinical or clinical trials for their treatment of neurodegenerative, autoimmune, inflammatory diseases and cancer [14].


Figure 1: Some reported Thienopyrimidine derivatives with different biological activities [15]

The aforementioned facts provoked our interest to synthesize a series of thiophenes and thienopyrimidines (Figure 2) aiming to obtain new compounds with antioxidant and anticancer activities, taking into consideration that the anticancer activity in compounds bearing the sulfonamide moiety may be due to carbonic anhydrase enzyme inhibition (Figure 3).


Figure 2: Design strategy for cyclothieno[2,3-d]pyrimidinone derivatives


Figure 3: Sulfonamide binding inside carbonic anhydrase active site [16]

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Chemistry

## Materials

Infrared analysis was performed on Bruker FT-IR spectrophotometer using KBr discs, at the Micro-analytical center, faculty of Science, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt and were expressed as wave number $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis was performed at the Faculty of Science Microanalytical center, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt on Varian Mercury VX-300 NMR spectrophotometer at 300 MHZ . The ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ) Nuclear Magnetic Resonance was done on apparatus Varian Mercury VX-300 NMR spectrophotometer at 75.446 MHZ using (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ), at main defense chemical laboratories, Cairo, Egypt. Elemental analyses were detected at Al-Azhar University Laboratory of the Regional Center for Mycology and Biotechnology. Melting points (M.p.) were recorded on electro thermal IA9100 apparatus (Shimadzu, Japan). Compounds 1 and 2 were prepared according to the reported procedures [17-19] respectively.

## General method (3a-c)

A mixture of $2(2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and the suitable sulfonamide $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dioxane $(20 \mathrm{ml})$, was refluxed for 6 h . The formed solid was filtered while hot using ethanol for crystallization to give $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$.

Ethyl-2-(3-(p-sulfamoylphenyl)thioureido)-4,5,6,7,8-pentahydrocyclohepta[b]thiophene-3-carboxylate (3a): Yield 92\%, M.p. 200-202 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3472,3380,3350\left(2 \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1702(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(453.599)$; Calcd $\%, \mathrm{C}, 50.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.11 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.26$. Found C, $50.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.06$; N, 9.11 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{DMSO}) ~ \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=1.22\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}\right.$ cycloheptane) $4.22\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 7.12-7.49 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic), $6.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable), $10.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable), $11.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} \underline{H}\right.$, exchanged with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.29,26.53,27.41,27.79,27.90,31.50,60.45,113.87,123.53,124.23,128.87,128.33,136.81,138.21,140.23$, 145.54, 160.21, 164.13, 175.64.

Ethyl-2-(3-(4-(pyrimidin-2-yl-sulfamoyl)phenyl)thioureido)-4,5,6,7,8-pentahydrocyclohepta [b]thiophene-3-carboxylate (3b): Yield 86\%, M.p. 209-211 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3406,3292(\mathrm{NH}), 1710(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1582(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ (531.671) Calcd \%, C, 51.96; H, 4.74; N, 13.17. Found C, $52.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.77 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.13$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 1.26-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{3}}\right.$ and $10 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ cycloheptane) $4.19\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $7.12-8.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H},\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.\right.$ pyrimidine and 4 H aromatic) ), $10.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable), $11.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable), 12.03 ( s , $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ replaceable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.22,26.41,27.11,27.80,28.21,32.13,60.01,110.31,116.23,123.53,126.23$, $128.00,132.24,138.21,140.42,145.54,148.23,157.37,158.34,168.33,169.30,174.82$.

Ethyl-2-(3-(4-methylpyrimidin-2-yl-sulfamoyl)phenyl)thioureido)-4,5,6,7,8-pentahydrocyclohepta [b]thiophene-3-carboxylate (3c): Yield $86 \%$, M.p. 209-211 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3488,3382(\mathrm{NH}), 1702(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1592(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ (545.697) Calcd \%, C, 52.82; H, 4.99; N, 12.83. Found C, $52.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.78 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 1.26-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ and 10H cycloheptane), 4.19 $\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrimidine), $7.60-8.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$ aromatic), $10.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable), $11.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable), $11.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.34,24.80,28.35,27.81,28.30,28.94,32.55,60.15$, $108.88,116.47,124.43,124.96,128.07,128.33,138.81,140.10,142.63,145.54,156.64,160.21,164.13,168.78,170.96,179.44$.

## General method (4a-c)

A blend of $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ of the appropriate compounds $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ and hydrazine hydrate ( $0.05 \mathrm{ml}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 20 ml ), was refluxed for 6 h . The resulted solid was gathered by filtration while hot using dioxane for crystallization to yield $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$.

Amino-2-(4-sulfamoylphenylamino)-5,6,7,8,9-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno-[2,3-d] pyrimidin-4(3H)-one (4a): Yield 62\%, M.p. 251$253^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3439-3311\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 1680(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1336,1148\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(405.494) \mathrm{Calcd} \%, \mathrm{C}, 50.35$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.72$; $\mathrm{N}, 17.27$. Found C, $50.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.69 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.34 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ : 1.29-2.36 (m, $10 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of cycloheptane ), $4.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable), 5.41 (s, $\mathrm{NH} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ interchangeable), $7.16-7.49$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of aromatic ring), $13.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=26.78$, $27.19,27.23,28.94,31.87,117.12,119.24,128.42,129.63,136.55,141.20,144.31,150.53,155.49,157.37,161.13,164.84$.

3-Amino-2-(4-[(pyrimidin-2-yl)sulfamoyl]-phenyl\}amino)-5,6,7,8,9 pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one (4b): Yield $67 \%$, M.p. $248-250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3410-3380\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 1679(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1332,1152\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 1.29-2.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \underline{H}$ of cycloheptane), $4.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, exchanged with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable), 6.98-7.40 (m, 3 H of pyrimidine and $4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of aromatic ring), 11.65 (s, NH , exchanged with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(483.567)$ Calcd $\%, \mathrm{C}, 52.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.38$; $\mathrm{N}, 20.28$. Found $\mathrm{C}, 52.01$; H , 4.49 ; N, 20.13; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=25.76,27.04,27.63,28.94,32.12,108.34117 .44,119.24,128.63,129.51,135.89,141.20$, 145.01, 150.53, 155.49, 157.37, 157.91, 158.20, 161.13, 164.84, 169.44.

3-Amino-2-(4-[(4-methylpyrimidin-2-yl)sulfamoyl]phenyl\}amino)-5,6,7,8,9-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno-[2,3-d] pyrimidin-4(3H)one (4c): Yield $78 \%$, M.p. $230-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3430-3390\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 1682(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1338,1152\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; $\mathrm{Anal}^{2}$. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (497.593) Calcd \%, C, 53.10; H, 4.66; N, 19.70. Found C, 53.28; H, 4.59; N, 19.83; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 1.22-2.32 (m, 10H of cycloheptane ), $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ pyrimidine), $4.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, exchanged with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 5.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable), $7.00-7.77$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ of pyrimidine and $4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of aromatic ring), 12.15 (s, $\mathrm{NH} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ interchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=23.82,25.83,27.04,27.32,28.46,32.12,108.34,116.00$, $128.42,129.45,137.86,140.11,148.31,150.40,156.49,157.37,158.91,160.20,161.13,166.72,168.01$.

General method (5a, b)
A solution of the proper substituted-4-sulfamoylphenylamino-5,6,7,8,9-entahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidinone derivatives 4b and $4 \mathrm{c}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ reacted with formic acid $(20 \mathrm{ml})$, upon reflux for 8 h . The product was then concentrated, crystallized using ethanol to give 5 a and 5 b respectively.

3-\{4-[2-pyrimidinylsulfamoyl]phenyl\}-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-11-one (5a): Yield $77 \%$, M.p. $223-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3400(\mathrm{NH}), 1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1410,1160\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(493.561) \mathrm{Calcd} \%$, C, $53.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.88 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.87$. Found C, $53.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.91 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.79 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 1.37-2.55 (m,10H of cycloheptane), 6.70 (s, CH, triazol), $7.30-7.87$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of pyrimidine and $4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of aromatic ring), $12.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N} \underline{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ replaceable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=19.70,24.63,29.21$, $29.36,31.62,110.30,116.43,117.02,117.89,125.62,128.81,129.33,139.30,146.80,156.20,157.94,160.41,163.36,169.61$.

3-\{4-[(4-methylpyrimidin-2-yl)sulfamoyl]phenyl\}-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-11one (5b): Yield $74 \%$, M.p. $215-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3410(\mathrm{NH}), 1678(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1390,1110\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(507.588)$ Calcd \%, C, 54.42; H, 4.17; N, 19.32. Found C, 54.46; H, 4.13; N, 19.35; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $1.91-2.83\left(\mathrm{~m}_{2} 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and $10 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of cycloheptane), 6.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}$, triazol), $7.11-7.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ of pyrimidine and $4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of aromatic ring), $12.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N} \underline{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable).
General method (6a, b)
A solution of substituted-4-sulfamoylphenylamino-5,6,7,8,9-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno-[2,3-d] pyrimidinone derivatives 4 b and 4 c ( 0.01 $\mathrm{mol})$ and acetic anhydride ( 20 ml ), was refluxed for 8 h . The formed product was dissipated then crystallized using ethanol to yield 6 a and 6 b respectively.

2-Methyl-3-\{4-[2-pyrimidinylsulfamoyl]phenyl\}-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-11-one (6a): Yield $76 \%$, M.p. $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3231(\mathrm{NH}), 3100(\mathrm{CH}$ aromatic), 2986, 2934 (CH aliphatic), $1702(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1588(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, 1390, $1110\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(507.588) \mathrm{Calcd} \%, \mathrm{C}, 54.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.17 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.32$. Found C, $54.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.25 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.50 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 1.22-2.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of cycloheptane), $2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of triazol), $7.20-7.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \underline{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ of pyrimidine and $4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ aromatic), $12.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ interchangeable).

2-methyl-3-\{4-[(4-methylpyrimidin-2-yl)sulfamoyl]phenyl\}-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-11-one (6b): Yield $74 \%$, M.p. $123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3329(\mathrm{NH}), 3100$ (CH aromatic), 2981, 2931 (CH aliphatic), 1672 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1549(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1375,1157\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (521.615) Calcd \%, C, 55.26; H, 4.44; N, 18.80. Found C, 55.19; H, 4.61; N, 18.77; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 2.09-3.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of pyrimidine; $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of triazol and $10 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of cycloheptane ), $7.14-7.97$ (m, 2 H of pyrimidine and $4 \underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of aromatic ring), 13.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ replaceable).
3-Amino-2-thioxo-5,6,7,8,9-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)one (7): Equivalent amounts(0.05 mol) of the isothiocyanate derivative 2 and hydrazine hydrate were refluxed for 6 h in ethanol. Precipitated upon cooling then gathered after filteration to be washed with ethanol and treated with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to yield compound 7 . Yield $67 \%$, M.p. $176-177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3940(\mathrm{NH}), 2893(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), $1659(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ (267.37) Calcd \%, C, 49.41; H, 4.90; N, 15.72. Found C, 49.52; H, 4.88; N, 15.78; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 1.29-3.40 (m, 10 H of cycloheptane), $12.23(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 13.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=26.59-31.67(5 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{cyc}$ cloheptane), 116.90, 125.22, 139.11, 157.37, 161.40, 172.46.

2-anilino-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,3,4-thiadiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-11-one (8): Equimolar amounts (0.01) of the 3-Amino-2-thioxo-thienopyrimidine derivative 7 and phenyl isothiocyanate were refluxed for 10 h in pyridine ( 20 ml ). The formed mixture was then poured after cooling onto ice water mixture, the formed solid was collected by filtration before using methanol for crystallizationto give 8 .

Yield $69 \%$, M.p. $152-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3390(\mathrm{NH}), 3080(\mathrm{CH}$ aromatic), $2960(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), $1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1590(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ (368.492) Calcd \%, C, 58.69; H, 4.38; N, 15.21. Found C, 58.72; H, 4.52; N, 15.19; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 1.34-2.97 (m, 10H cycloheptane), $12.23(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.16-7.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \underline{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ of aromatic ring); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=20.59-31.67$ (5C- cycloheptane), 116.31, $117.41,118.88,125.20,129.60,139.31,144.12,155.22,158.10,160.13,163.66$.

2-methyl-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,3,4-thiadiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-11-one (9): A blend of 7 (2.67 g, 0.01 mol ) and acetic anhydride ( 10 ml ) was stirred with reflux for 16 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to give a sticky paste which was triturated by ethanol. The formed solid was filtered and crystallized upon treatment with dioxane to give 9. Yield $67 \%$, M.p. $126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}\right.$ disc) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $2985\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ aliphatic), $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1570(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ (291.393) Calcd \%, C, 53.58; H, 4.50; N, 14.42. Found C, 53.64; H, 4.47; N, 14.48; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): 0.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 1.29-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \underline{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ cycloheptane); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=22.71-31.22(6 \mathrm{c})$, 117.40, 125.23, 139.56, 154.78, 155.31, 160.01, 164.36.

2-thioxo-6,7,8,9,10-pentahydrocyclohepta[4,5]thieno[2,3-d]-1,3,4-thiadiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-11-one (10): Equivalent amounts (0.01 mol) of 7 and carbon disulfide were refluxed in pyridine $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ for 8 h . After cooling, the reaction product was poured onto ice water and acidified with dilute hydrochloric acid, the formed solid was gathered after filtration using ethanol for crystallization to give thiadiazolothienopyrimidine derivative 10. Yield $69 \%$, M.p. $166-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr} \mathrm{disc})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3411(\mathrm{NH}), 3080(\mathrm{CH}$ aromatic), $2960(\mathrm{CH}$ aliphatic), $1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1590$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; Anal. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}_{3}$ (309.43) Calcd\%, C, 46.58; H, 3.85; N, 13.58; Found C, 46.66; H, 3.91; N, 13.61; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 1.342.97 (m, 10 $\underline{H}$ cycloheptane), 10.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ).

## Biology

## Cytotoxic screening on human Caucasian breast cancerous cells (MCF7)

The in-vitro anticancer screening method was performed in the Bioassay-Cell Culture Laboratory, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. Viable cells were measured by reduction of the yellow MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) to the reduced purple formazan analogue [20]. The biological assay was performed in a sterile media using a Laminar flow cabinet biosafety class II level (Baker, SG403INT, Sanford, ME, USA). Cells were suspended in RPMI 1640 medium for MCF7. The media were enriched with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ L-glutamine in addition to $1 \%$ antibacterial-antifungal mixture ( $10000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ potassium penicillin, $10000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin sulfate, and $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amphotericin B), and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were batch cultured for 10 days, then seeded at concentration of $10 \times 103$ cells/well in 96-well microtiter plastic plates at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in fresh complete growth medium under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ using a water jacketed carbon dioxide incubator (Sheldon, TC2323, Cornelius, OR,USA). Media was suctioned, a medium without serum was introduced, and incubation of cells was carried out either having samples with various concentrations added or even alone (negative control) to result an overall concentration of ( $100-50-25-12.5-6.25-3.125-0.78$ and $1.56 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Incubation was done for 2 days ( 48 h ) then, medium was aspirated, $40-\mu \mathrm{l}$ MTT salt $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ was introduced per well and further incubation was performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h under $5 \%$ carbon dioxide. At $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight incubation the reaction was stopped and the formed crystals were dissolved upon addition of $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in deionized water per well [21].

A microplate multi-well reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., model 3350, Hercules, California, USA) was used to measure absorbance at 595nm using a reference wavelength of 620 nm . SPSS 11 program was used to test significance between samples and negative control (cells with vehicle) using independent t-test. DMSO was used as solvent with final concentration on the cells less than $0.2 \%$. The percentage of change in viability was calculated according to the formula:

## $(($ samples result/negative control result) -1$) \times 100$

## Free radical scavenging activity

The in-vitro antioxidant activity method was performed in the Bioassay-Cell Culture Laboratory, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. The free radical scavenging activity of the compounds was measured by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazil ( $\mathrm{DPPH}^{*}$ ) [22]. $0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{of}^{\mathrm{DPPH}}{ }^{*}$ was solubilized in methyl alcohol. At this point, 1 ml of this prepared alcoholic solution was added to 3 ml of the dissolved new thienopyrimidine tested samples at different concentrations ranging from $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The blend was shaken energetically and kept for half an hour at room temperature. Afterwards the absorbance was measured at $\lambda_{\max } 517 \mathrm{~nm}$ in ASYS microplate reader taking into consideration that the minimum absorbance reflects maximum antioxidant effect.

The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for the tested compounds was measured statistically using nonlinear regression curve of Log concentration of the test compound $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ against the mean percentage of the free radical scavenging effect.

$$
\text { DPPH scavenging effect }(\%)=100-\left[\left(\left(Z_{0}-Z_{1}\right) / Z_{0}\right) \times 100\right]
$$

$\mathrm{Z}_{0}=$ absorbance without sample (control)
$\mathrm{Z}_{1}=$ absorbance with tested sample added [23]
The in-vitro antioxidant activity of the selected synthesized products was performed using the DPPH free radical scavenging method using Ascorbic acid as a reference standard. All compounds were screened at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and the $\%$ scavenging activity was illustrated in (Table 1 , chart $1)$ as well as the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and the $\mathrm{IC}_{90}$ of the most active compounds.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## Chemistry

The plan strategy for syntheses of thienopyrimidines $1-10$ is depicted in the provided (Scheme 1). The substituted thiophene ethylcarboxylate ester 1 [17] was prepared following reported Gewald reaction [18] using ethyl cyanoacetate, sulfur, cycloheptanone, and morpholine. The isothiocyanate derivative 2 is considered as a strategic starting material that can be used to synthesize a number of thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidines. It was prepared via the reaction of the amino ester 1 with thiophosgene as reported [19]. Interaction of isothiocyanate derivative 2 with different sulfonamides namely sulfanilamide, sulfadiazine and sulfamerazine were carried out in Dioxane to afford compounds 3a-c. IR spectra declared bands at $3350-3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ representing NH . Moreover, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 3 a afforded multiplet at $\delta=7.12-7.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned for aromatic
protons, in addition to three signals at $\delta=6.74, \delta=10.83$ and $\delta=11.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the exchangeable protons $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, NH and NH respectively. Furthermore, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of 3 b showed signals attributed to aromatic-ring carbons at $\delta=126.2-138.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ and signals at $\delta=110.31$, $158.34,169.30 \mathrm{ppm}$ equivalent to pyrimidine carbons. Compounds $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ was obtained via the reaction of compounds $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ with hydrazine hydrate in ethanol under reflux. IR spectrum showed $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ bands at $\sim 3410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in addition to two bands at $1338-1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}^{2}$ spectrum of 4 b disclosed three interchangeable singlet signals at $\delta=4.11, \delta=4.78, \delta=11.65 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, and two NH protons respectively. Signals assigned for pyrimidine protons at $\delta=6.90-7.40 \mathrm{ppm}$. For compound 4 c , methyl protons were present at $\delta=2.35 \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR spectrum for 4 b revealed signals for 21 carbons ranging from $\delta=25.76 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta=169.44 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Cyclization of compounds 4 b and 4 c into the corresponding triazolobenzenesulfonamide derivatives 5 a and 5 b respectively was done by reflux in formic acid. IR spectra confirmed the disappearance of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ bands. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 5 a disclosed a singlet signal at $\delta=6.70 \mathrm{ppm}$ representing the triazol proton, inaddition to multiplet signal at $\delta=7.30-7.87 \mathrm{ppm}$ equivalent to aromatic-ring protons and the pyrimidine protons, furthermore a replaceable signal at $\delta=12.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned for NH proton. Moreover ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum for 5a revealed signal at $\delta=146.80 \mathrm{ppm}$ representing triazol carbon and signals at $\delta=157.94,158.20$ and 169.61 ppm representing the pyrimidine carbons.
Heating compounds 4 b and 4 c in acetic anhydride afforded compounds 6 a and 6 b respectively. IR spectra disclosed disappearance of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ bands. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of compound 6 a illustrated a singlet representing methyl protons of triazol ring at $\delta=2.33 \mathrm{ppm}$, multiplet at $\delta=7.20-$ 7.61 ppm assigned for protons of pyrimidine and aromatic ring, in addition to an interchangeable signal at $\delta=12.28 \mathrm{ppm}$ correponding to NH proton.

Reflux of the isothiocyanate derivative 2 and hydrazine hydrate using ethanol as a solvent yielded 3-amino-2-thioxothienopyrimidinone derivative 7 upon acidification [24]. IR spectra confirmed $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ bands at $3940 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum afforded two replaceable signals at $\delta=12.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta=13.21 \mathrm{ppm}$ representing NH proton and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ protons respectively. In addition ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed signals at $\delta$ 161.40 ppm and $\delta=172.46 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ respectively.

The reaction of 7 with phenyl isothiocyanate in refluxing pyridine was investigated yielded compound 8 . IR spectra illustrated the presence of band for NH at $3390 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Moreover, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum afforded multiplet signal at $\delta=7.16-7.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ representing the aromatic-ring protons in addition to a replaceable signal at $\delta=12.23$ equivalent for NH proton. Furthermore ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ revealed signal at $\delta=158.10 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to carbon of thiadiazol ring in addition to signals representing aromatic carbons at $\delta=118.8-129.6 \mathrm{ppm}$.

The reaction of the 3-amino-2-thioxothienopyrimidinone compound 7 with acetic anhydride under reflux, afforded thiadiazolothienopyrimidine derivative $9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum afforded signal at $\delta=0.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned for methyl protons, moreover ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum afforded signal at $\delta=154.78 \mathrm{ppm}$ equivalent to carbon of thiadiazol ring. Reaction of 7 with carbon disulfide was carried out in refluxing pyridine to afford thiadiazolothienopyrimidine derivative 10 . IR spectrum confirmed the presence of band for NH at $3411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In addition ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum disclosed an exchangeable signal at 10.84 ppm equivalent to NH proton.


Scheme 1: strategy for syntheses of thienopyrimidines 1-10

## Biological Discussion

The tabulated data in (Table 2, chart 2) demonstrate that the compounds with highest cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 reflected by their $\mathrm{IC}_{50 \mathrm{~S}}$ are: the triazolothienopyrimidine derivative $5 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.90 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ followed by the sulfamoylphenylaminothienopyrimidine derivative 4 c ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.95$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$ then the thiadiazolothienopyrimidine derivative $8\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 2.01 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ compared to reference standard Doxorubicin ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while for those tested against hepatic cancer cell line HEPG-2, thiadiazolothienopyrimidine derivative 8 was the most potent (IC $500.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) followed by the multicyclic derivative $6 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 2.21 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $5 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.11 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ in comparison to Doxorubicin ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50} 6.10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Moreover, an in-vitro antioxidant analysis was performed to measure the percentage of free scavenging activity for some of the best resulted anticancer compounds, where four out of six tested compounds elicited \% of scavenging activity higher than that recorded by Ascorbic acid reference standard, which are compounds $4 \mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{a}$ and 6 b that afforded $100 \%$ scavenging and the thiouriedo derivative 3 a that afforded $85.2 \%$ compared to $75.7 \%$ which was recorded by standard Ascorbic acid as shown in (Table 1).

Table 1: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{IC}_{90}$ and $\%$ free radical scavenging at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$

| Compound No. | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{9 0}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\%$ free radical scavenging at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | 57.4 | 101.9 | 85.2 |
| 3 c | 63.8 | 107.9 | 70.7 |
| 4 b | --------- | -------- | 52.7 |
| 4 c | 34.6 | 56.9 | 100 |
| 5 a | 21.1 | 46.2 | 100 |
| 6 b | 18.1 | 42.8 | 100 |
| Ascorbic acid | 59.2 | 115 | 75.7 |

Table 2: $\mathrm{IC}_{50 \mathrm{~s}}$ for some synthesized compounds against breast adenoma MCF-7 and liver adenoma HEPG-2 cell lines

| Compound No. | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{5} \boldsymbol{0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{M C F} \mathbf{- 7}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ HEPG2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | 6.09 | 4.4 |
| 3 b | 10.8 | 8.19 |
| 3 c | 2.44 | 7.03 |
| 4 a | 8.62 | 5 |
| 4 b | 8.9 | 6.12 |
| 4 c | 1.95 | 4.14 |
| 5 a | 1.9 | 3.11 |
| 5 b | 8.77 | 3.2 |
| 6 a | 6.3 | 3.14 |
| 6 b | 2.31 | 2.21 |
| 8 | 2.01 | 0.4 |
| 10 | 2.44 | 18.3 |
| Doxorubicin | 5 | 6.1 |
|  |  |  |

Chart-1: representative chart for table-1 results


Chart 1: Representative chart for table 1 results


Chart 2: Representative chart for table 2 results

## CONCLUSION

The biological evaluation declared that all the screened compounds revealed promising activity either for anticancer or antioxidant assays. For MCF-7 cell line-anticancer $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were $(1.90 \mu \mathrm{M}-10.8 \mu \mathrm{M})$, six compounds showed remarkable cytotoxic activity more than that of Doxorubicin reflected by their $\mathrm{IC}_{50 \text { s }}$ ranging from $(1.90 \mu \mathrm{M}-2.44 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to standard Doxorubicin IC ${ }_{50}$ values ( $5.00 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). For HEPG-2 cell line- the cytotoxic $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were $(0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}-18.30 \mu \mathrm{M})$, eight out of twelve compounds illustrated better cytotoxic activity than the reference standard Doxorubicin as shown in their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranges $(0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}-5.00 \mu \mathrm{M})$ when compared to standard Doxorubicin $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $(6.10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Whereas, their antioxidant \% free radical scavenging was ranging from $52.7 \%$ to $100 \%$, interestingly four of the tested compounds showed better antioxidant effect when in reference to standard Ascorbic acid, three of which had $100 \%$ free radical scavenging activity while that of standard Ascorbic acid was ( $75.7 \%$ ). Noticeably, the compound's antioxidant values were nearly consistent with their anticancer profile.
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