

ISSN 0975-413X CODEN (USA): PCHHAX

Der Pharma Chemica, 2017, 9(14):127-135 (http://www.derpharmachemica.com/archive.html)

Computational Multivariate Regression and Validation Analysis on a Set of AKT kinase Inhibitors

Siva Prasad N¹, Manideep Reddy M¹, Krishna Murthy P^{*}, Ajay Babu P²

¹Department of Chemistry, Bapatla Engineering College (Autonomous), Acharaya Nagarjuna University Bapatla-522102, A.P., India ²Department of Chemistry, St. Peter's University, Chennai-600054, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

AKT as a result of inactivation of tumor suppressor PTEN has been found in a variety of human tumors. AKT has long been considered an attractive target for the treatment of cancers. A computational multivariate regression was carried out on a set of 61 pyridine based analogs to study the influence of physico-chemical properties on AKT inhibition. A regression model was generated by dividing the complete set as a 51 molecule training set and a 6 molecule validation set based on selection criteria after rejecting outliers from the data set. The generated equation when applied on test set molecules suggested predictive ability. The model can be utilized to study the efficacy of AKT inhibition based on the properties evaluated.

Keywords: Multivariate regression, AKT Kinase, Correlation, Validation.

INTRODUCTION

Activation of oncogenes, such as Ras, ErbB-2, and Src or loss of tumor suppressor genes, such as PTEN, can lead to aberrant signaling in the PI3K/AKT signal transduction pathway [1]. Three isoforms of AKT kinases are known, such as AKT1 (PKBa), AKT2 (PKBb) and AKT3 (PKBc). All three are up-regulated in different types of cancers including NSCLC (non-small cell lung carcinoma), breast and prostate cancers, making them potential oncology targets. Several small molecule AKT inhibitors have recently been reported [2,3]. Kinase selectivity is important because long term inhibition of off-target kinases may cause undesired side effects and toxicity.

Protein kinases are a large family of diverse but related enzymes that regulate nearly all aspects of cell growth, differentiation, and division. Dys-regulation of one or more protein kinases has been associated with a wide spectrum of human cancers. Clinical success of Gleevec (inhibitor of BCR-ABL, PDGFR, and c-Kit), as well as Iressa (EGFR inhibitor) resulted in a search for small molecule inhibitors of protein kinases as anti-cancer chemotherapeutics [4,5]. Among the superfamily of protein kinases, protein kinase B, also called AKT, is a pivotal component of the phosphatidylinositol 30-kinase/Akt signal transduction pathway that regulates many processes crucial to carcinogenesis [6].

AKT as a result of, for example, inactivation of tumor suppressor PTEN has been found in a variety of human tumors [7,8]. Therefore, AKT has long been considered an attractive target for the treatment of cancers. There have been a number of small molecule inhibitors that partially target AKT. While the majority of these inhibitors are ATP-competitive, Lindsley et al. reported a series of selective allosteric and non-ATP-competitive diphenylquinoxaline- and diphenylpyridine-based inhibitors of AKT that target the Pleckstrin Homology (PH) domain of the protein kinase [9].

In view of the above, computational multivariate regressions were carried out on a set of 61 pyridine based analogs to study the influence of physico-chemical properties of side chain groups of these congeneric series of compounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data set

A dataset consisting of selective AKT inhibitors with experimental biological activity were considered from literature having oxindole-pyridine and 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyridine moieties [10,11]. The inhibitory activities of these derivatives reported in terms of IC_{50} in micromolar were transformed into their corresponding logarithmic values in order to overcome overlapping data.

Therefore, to obtain linear distribution of data, the inhibition converted to negative logarithmic values was used for subsequent analysis. The structures were sketched using ISIS Draw 2.3 software and the descriptors were calculated.

Multivariate regression analysis

Regression models were built on complete and training sets, respectively.

The relationship between dependent variable (log $1/IC_{50}$) and independent variables was established by linear regression analysis. Significant descriptors were chosen based on the statistical data of analysis. Statistical quality of the generated regression equation was judged based on the parameters like correlation coefficient (r), F-value, cross-validation r^2 etc.

Descriptors for regression

Nearly 30 descriptors which represent physico-chemical properties of chemical compounds obeying drug likeness parameters based on Lipinski rule of 5, such as molecular weight, hydrogen bond acceptors, hydrogen bond donors, logP, number of rotatable bonds and other parameters such as Dipole, lipole, 5, 6-membered aromatic rings, molecular surface area, and molecular volume, indices such as Kier, K Alpha, Randic, Balaban, Weiner are considered.

Validity of regression equation

Predictive validity of the regression model was estimated externally by predicting the activities of validation set. Apart from this, another criterion was proposed [12] which are based on the regression of observed activities against predicted activities and vice versa for validation set, if the following conditions are satisfied [13].

$$(\mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{R}_0^2)/\mathbf{R}^2 < 0.1 \text{ or } (\mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{R}_0^2)/\mathbf{R}^2 < 0.1$$

 $0.85 \le k \le 1.15 \text{ or } 0.85 \le k' \le 1.15$

Calculations relating to the above equations and the slopes, k and k' are based on regression of observed values against predicted values and vice versa.

Outliers standardized residuals

A standardized residual is a ratio: The difference between the observed values and predicted values and the standard deviation of the predicted values. The standardized residual is a measure of the strength of the difference between observed and expected values.

Standardized Residual
$$i = \frac{Residual i}{Standard Deviation of Residual i}$$

Standardized residuals greater than 2 and less than -2 are usually considered large [13]. Outliers should be removed in order to obtain the best statistical result [14].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Multivariate regression analysis using regress It add-in Excel program resulted in few influential parameters displayed significant positive and negative contribution towards biological activity of AKT inhibitors. Equation 1 given below represents the regression model from a complete set of 61 AKT inhibitors. The complete data set along with independent variables is presented in Tables 1 and 2. A plot of actual values versus predicted values from Equation 1 was given in Figure 1. In order to produce better predictive values, outliers should be analyzed in data and should be removed from analysis. Therefore, standardized residuals were calculated and data was presented.

Complete set

Log (1/IC₅₀)=-0.105x DIPOLE Z +0.063x LIPOLE X +0.564x KAPPA1 -2.366x KAPPA3 +0.352x LogP -0.691x Kier-chi-V1-bond +8.050r=0.71484; r²=0.511; Adj. r²; n=61 (1)Complete Set, n=61 y = 0.5108x + 0.77895.000 $R^2 = 0.5108$ Predicted Data 3.000 1.000 -1.000 -3.000_{2.000} 0.000 2.000 4.000 6.000 Actual Data Figure 1: Actual vs. predicted activities of complete dataset (n=61)

Table 1: Complete set data with predicted and residual values: Outliers calculated by Standardized residuals, highlighted in color

Mol No.	Acti vity	Predi ctions	Residuals	standardize d residuals	Mole cular Surf ace Area	Moel cular Volu me	To tal Di pol e	To tal Li pol e	Molec ular Refra ctivity	Ka ppa 1	Ka ppa 2	Ka ppa 3	Ra ndi c	Bal aba n	Wein er	5- me mb ere d RI NG S	6- mem bere d RIN GS
2_17 A.mo 1	3.00 0	2.378	0.622	0.768	438.1 17	345.9 70	3.5 50	6.7 65	135.9 83	26.2 34	11.6 23	5.81 4	17. 547	0.93 8	4390	3	3
2_17 B.mo 1	3.09 7	2.250	0.847	1.047	438.5 75	348.2 57	2.5 11	5.9 97	135.9 83	26.2 34	11.6 23	5.81 4	17. 547	0.94 0	4416	3	3
2_17 C.mo 1	3.00 0	2.609	0.391	0.483	435.3 90	348.1 29	1.8 41	5.6 84	135.9 83	26.2 34	11.6 23	5.67 3	17. 564	0.94 2	4387	3	3
2_18 A.mo 1	2.09 7	2.439	-0.342	-0.422	422.4 43	336.5 41	1.5 45	9.5 38	133.5 62	25.2 88	11.3 96	5.57 9	17. 153	0.94 7	4050	3	3
2_18 B.mo 1	1.88 6	2.425	-0.539	-0.666	424.3 53	336.2 28	6.1 51	9.8 02	133.5 78	25.2 88	11.3 96	5.57 9	17. 153	0.94 7	4050	3	3
2_18 C.mo 1	2.00 0	2.412	-0.412	-0.509	424.4 62	336.2 30	6.0 47	10. 23 3	133.2 38	25.2 88	11.3 96	5.57 9	17. 153	0.94 7	4050	3	3
2_2A .mol	0.90 3	1.664	-0.761	-0.941	340.0 92	274.3 46	1.4 01	3.5 02	107.3 71	20.2 80	9.66 7	5.33 1	13. 187	1.08 2	2136	1	3
2_9a. mol	1.30	1.589	-0.288	-0.356	414.8 74	332.7 72	2.3 43	5.4 15	132.1 67	24.6 84	11.8 23	6.22 8	16. 170	1.07 7	3402	1	4
2_9b.	1.82 4	1.715	0.109	0.134	428.4	340.9 91	1.5 07	2.7	133.8	25.6 41	12.0 30	6.29 7	16. 581	1.10	3637	1	4
2_9c.	1.10	1.128	-0.025	-0.031	425.8	340.7	2.3	2.2	133.8	25.6	12.0	6.47 8	16. 564	1.08	3664	1	4
2_9d.	0.30	1.033	-0.732	-0.905	424.2	340.0 97	2.8	1.5	133.8	25.6	12.0 30	6.47 8	16. 564	1.08	3691	1	4
2_9e.	1.00	1.342	-0.342	-0.423	467.3	354.7	2.9	3.1	138.6	26.6	12.6	6.53 3	17.	1.11	3906	1	4
2_9f.	2.00	1.581	0.419	0.519	435.1	348.8	2.1	3.0	134.0	26.6	12.2	6.53	119 16.	1.11	3904	1	4
2_9g.	1.20	1.947	-0.747	-0.923	449.7	356.6	3.0	3.7	134.2	27.5	12.4	6.60 5	17.	1.12	4173	1	4
2_9h.	2.52	1.526	0.997	1.233	464.4	357.9	2.2	4.7	138.6	26.6	12.2	6.53 3	16. 074	4 1.11 3	3904	1	4
2_9i.	0.10	1.712	-1.609	-1.990	395.1 46	318.5	2.3	1.6	124.5	23.7	40 11.1 60	5.81	15.	1.07	3143	2	3
2_9j.	2.00	1.712	0.288	0.356	394.9 26	318.5	2.3	1.6	124.5	23.7	11.1	5.81	15.	1.07	3143	2	3
2_9k.	1.10	1.130	-0.027	-0.034	404.9	335.6	2.7	2.4	131.0	23.7	11.1	5.81	15.	1.07	3143	2	3
2_9l.	1.30	1.372	-0.071	-0.088	409.2	330.3 70	2.0	2.0	131.1	23.7	11.1	5.81	15.	1.07	3143	2	3
2_9m	1.82	1.785	0.039	0.048	402.5	320.4	1.8	3.1	126.8	23.7	11.1	5.81	15.	1.07	3143	2	3
2_9N .mol	- 1.02	- 1.325	0.303	0.375	428.0 31	336.5 42	2.2 65	9.1 20	131.4 65	26.0 74	11.8 23	7.49 2	15. 782	1.21 9	3484	1	3
2_90	0.14	- 0.446	0.589	0.728	423.9 74	325.7	2.1	9.9 78	127.1	25.1 04	12.6 30	7.01 4	15. 635	1.19	3256	1	3
2_9P.	3.00	2.456	0.544	0.672	429.7	338.4 51	2.4	6.6 28	135.7	25.2 88	11.3 96	5.57 9	17.	0.94	4050	3	3
2_9Q	3.09 7	2.397	0.700	0.865	469.1	353.7	1.1	4.6	140.9	26.2 34	11.6 23	5.67 3	17.	0.96	4323	3	3
1.mol	2.69 9	2.276	0.423	0.523	370.0 24	278.7 92	3.1 22	9.0 73	117.8 04	21.8 25	10.2 92	5.33 3	14. 759	0.95	2868	1	4
11A.	2.48	3.103	-0.622	-0.769	411.3 75	315.7	3.2	6.2 44	127.1	23.4	10.1	4.85 7	16. 153	0.84	3662	1	3
11B.	2.22 9	1.606	0.623	0.771	429.8 24	342.1 65	3.4 54	8.2 46	139.8 83	26.2 34	12.0 27	, 5.96 1	17.	0.84	4628	1	4
11c. mol	0.45 3	1.317	-0.864	-1.068	466.3 91	376.0 89	3.7 09	12. 97	149.4 93	28.1 35	12.4 75	6.27 8	18. 458	0.85 0	5330	1	4
11D. mol	1.98 3	1.809	0.174	0.215	428.8 50	338.7 64	4.0 64	4.4 69	137.6 95	26.2 34	12.0 27	5.96 1	17. 653	0.84 1	4628	1	4

Siva Prasad N et al.

Der Pharma Chemica, 2017, 9(14):127-135

11E. mol	1.98 7	1.618	0.369	0.457	424.6 43	339.5 15	2.2 58	4.2 54	137.3 54	26.2 34	12.0 27	5.96 1	17. 653	0.84	4628	1	4
11F.	2.82	2.283	0.540	0.668	417.0	329.8	2.1	5.8	136.2	25.2	11.3	5.57	17.	0.84	4282	1	3
mol	4	1.015	1 725	2.145	11	97	21	75	36	88	96	9	153	5	4292	1	2
mol	0.18	1.915	-1./35	-2.145	430.4 46	335.9 71	2.9 54	12. 28 1	135.2 05	25.2 88	96	5.57 9	17. 153	0.84 5	4282	1	3
11H.	2.08	1.511	0.575	0.711	431.6	355.3	28.	8.3	142.1	26.2	11.6	5.67	17.	0.85	4597	1	3
mol	6				65	40	72	13	31	34	23	3	564	0			
11I.m	2.92	2.271	0.650	0.804	418.8	323.3	4.1	11.	135.0	25.2	11.3	5.57	17.	0.84	4282	1	3
ol	1				52	53	74	84	19	88	96	9	153	5		-	-
111	0.81	1.055	1 140	1 400	175.6	267.9	28	2	150.0	27.8	12.2	5.91	10	0.78	5697	2	4
mol	5	1.955	-1.140	-1.409	14	63	2.8 96	05	70	10	12.5	9	136	2	3082	2	4
11K.	2.14	2.605	-0.462	-0.572	418.0	326.7	3.6	6.6	132.2	25.2	11.3	5.57	17.	0.84	4282	2	3
mol	3	2 2 5 0	-0.852	-1.053	25 490 7	29 380 7	30	48	84	29.0	96 12.7	9 6.08	153	5	5686	2	3
mol	8	2.230	0.052	1.055	75	84	13	46	52	89	01	0	923	8	2000	-	5
11M.	3.04	1.759	1.286	1.591	429.6	340.2	2.1	4.7	138.7	25.2	11.3	5.57	17.	0.84	4282	2	3
11N.	3.77	2.312	1.458	1.803	421.1	328.0	3.3	4.7	132.2	25.2	11.3	5.57	17.	0.84	4282	2	3
mol	0		1.00.5		13	84	08	98	74	88	96	9	153	5			
110. mol	3.15	2.069	1.086	1.342	439.2	340.4	3.1 89	6.1 15	137.4 23	26.2 34	11.6 23	5.81 4	17. 547	0.84	4627	2	3
11P.	2.00	2.230	-0.230	-0.285	458.7	353.5	1.9	6.2	142.4	27.1	11.8	5.89	17.	0.84	4975	2	3
mol	0	1 200	0.977	1.095	07	64	24	55	64	84	52	8	958	6	2252	2	2
9a.m ol	2.26	1.390	0.877	1.085	354.2 89	286.1 93	8.3 83	3.2 31	08	20.8 78	10.0 80	5.25 8	14. 349	1.10	2352	2	3
9b.m	0.31	1.289	-0.977	-1.208	355.8	286.7	6.7	3.3	115.3	20.8	10.0	5.25	14.	1.10	2352	2	3
ol 9c m	2	2 777	-1 390	-1 718	23	02 282.6	00 64	21	08	78	80	8 5.25	349	0	2352	2	3
ol	7	2.111	1.590	1.710	09	202.0	66	74	35	78	80	8	349	4	2352	2	5
9d.m	-	0.658	-1.302	-1.610	379.7	302.0	5.5	10.	117.3	21.8	10.2	5.50	14.	1.12	2587	1	2
01	0.64				/1	/8	25	5	62	25	92	/	742	5			
9e.m	2.49	0.824	1.671	2.066	345.8	295.5	4.7	6.7	117.3	21.8	10.2	5.50	14.	1.12	2587	1	2
Ol 9E m	5	0.838	0.166	0.205	44	22	59 6.0	05	62 115.7	25	92 10.2	7	742	5	2587	1	2
ol	4	0.050	0.100	0.205	65	60	0.0	32	88	25	92	7	742	5	2307	1	2
0.5.11	0.74	1 205	0.549	0.679	251.0	208.8	60	9	110.1	22.7	10.5	5.20	15	1 1 4	2002	1	2
ol	0.74	1.295	-0.548	-0.0/8	18	298.8 03	6.9 39	8.5 47	50	76	08	5.39 9	15. 170	1.14	2805	1	2
9H.m	2.18	1.378	0.809	1.000	386.5	313.8	5.5	11.	121.6	23.7	11.1	5.64	15.	1.14	3050	1	2
ol	./				31	47	73	25 0	85	28	60	4	708	8			
9I.mo	0.83	0.894	-0.062	-0.076	370.4	322.4	6.8	7.1	126.2	24.6	11.8	6.04	16.	1.15	3329	1	2
1 91 m	3	0.894	-0.502	-0.620	18	05	27	05	05	84	23	9 6.04	208	0	3320	1	2
ol	3	0.094	0.502	0.020	86	11	27	05	05	84	23	9	208	0	3327	1	2
9K.m	-	0.333	-1.649	-2.039	376.6	322.6	5.4	7.2	126.2	24.6	11.8	6.04	16.	1.12	3389	1	2
01	1.31 6				13	83	27	66	05	84	23	9	208	0			
9L.m	2.13	1.168	0.963	1.190	366.0	297.3	6.6	9.1	113.8	21.8	10.2	5.50	14.	1.12	2587	1	2
ol 9M	2 36	0.872	1 495	1 848	81 346.6	16 301 3	17	90 34	38	25	92 10.2	7	742 14	5	2587	1	2
mol	7	5.672	1.175	1.010	08	02	81	96	81	25	92	7	742	5	2007		-
9N.m	- 1 10	-	-0.773	-0.955	360.5	306.4	5.6	8.9 32	119.4 87	22.7	10.0	5.74	15.	1.13	2824	1	2
UI	3	0.420			57	22	70	55	07	70	72	2	050	0			
90.m	1.62	2.388	-0.768	-0.950	361.1	321.3	4.6	4.8	126.4	23.7	10.3	5.32	15.	1.15	3021	1	2
01 9P.m	2.39	1.582	0.816	1.009	/1 350.5	48 308.0	94 4.7	73 5.8	58 121.9	28	18	/ 5.39	503 15.	8 1.14	2803	1	2
ol	8				55	42	56	94	37	76	08	9	170	0			
9Q.m ol	1.09 7	1.372	-0.275	-0.340	364.2 63	321.6 49	4.7	5.3 59	126.5 38	23.7 28	11.1 60	5.64 4	15. 708	1.14 8	3050	1	2
9r.mo	-	0.142	-0.151	-0.186	496.6	375.8	5.6	11.	146.6	27.1	13.1	6.84	18.	1.03	4591	1	3
1	0.00				35	90	55	19	33	84	09	0	226	6			
9s.m	1.27	1.141	0.137	0.170	427.8	333.6	5.5	11.	131.6	24.6	11.3	5.87	16.	1.15	3299	1	2
ol	8				41	54	92	01	96	84	73	8	081	9			
9T.m	2.82	2.595	0.229	0.283	383.8	318.3	6.7	0 8.9	117.3	23.7	10.3	5.32	15.	1.15	3021	1	2
ol	4		C(4 1 1		02	66	28	39	30	28	18	7	503	8			
			Deviation:														
			0.809														

Mol No.	Molec ular Weigh t	HB Accep tors	HB Don ors	logP	Rota table Bon ds	Dipol e mom ent X	Dipol e mom ent Y	Dipol e mom ent Z	Lip ole X	Lip ole Y	Lip ole Z	Kier ChiV 0 atom s	Kier Chi V1 bond	Kier Chi V2 path	K Al ph a1	KAlp ha2	KAlp ha3
2_17A. mol	481.57	5	3	4.39 8	7	2.948	1.532	- 1.251	- 5.38 8	- 3.81 9	1.46 3	19.58 6	11.6 66	8.92 8	23. 41 1	9.812	4.742
2_17B. mol	481.57	5	3	4.39 8	7	1.843	- 1.701	0.124	- 5.13 9	- 2.91 6	1.02 4	19.58 6	11.6 66	8.92 8	23. 41 1	9.812	4.742
2_17C. mol	481.57	5	3	4.39 8	7	0.545	- 1.758	0.051	- 4.78 2	- 2.89	1.04 3	19.58 6	11.6 72	8.9	23. 41 1	9.812	4.623
2_18A. mol	464.57	6	3	3.70 5	7	0.414	- 0.651	- 1.339	- 3.69 5	- 8.16	3.27 5	19.15 5	11.4 26	8.63 7	22. 84 8	9.812	4.653
2_18B. mol	464.57	6	3	3.41 2	7	5.777	1.663	-1.3	- 2.31 3	- 8.22 5	4.80 2	19.15 5	11.4 16	8.65 9	22. 84 8	9.812	4.653
2_18C. mol	464.57	6	3	3.34 6	7	4.648	3.622	-1.36	- 2.13 3	- 8.66 6	5.00 8	19.15 5	11.4 26	8.63 7	22. 84 8	9.812	4.653
2_2A. mol	358.48	4	2	3.68 3	6	- 0.713	0.964	0.724	- 3.33 7	0.18 1	- 1.04 5	15.22 2	9.01 3	6.74	18. 31	8.308	4.441
2_9a.m ol	434.58	4	2	5.30 1	7	- 1.544	- 0.753	1.593	5.06 5	- 1.59 3	1.06 2	18.53 2	11.1 01	8.26 3	21. 97 7	9.97	5.066
2_9b.m ol	450.58	5	3	5.01 7	7	1.056	- 0.791	0.728	2.75 1	0.23 4	- 0.00 4	18.90 2	11.2 41	8.41 5	22. 89	10.16 8	5.139
2_9c.m ol	450.58	5	3	5.01 7	7	- 1.113	0.578	1.942	2.17 9	0.47 6	- 0.28 5	18.90 2	11.2 35	8.44 8	22. 89	10.16 8	5.293
2_9d.m	450.58	5	3	5.01 7	7	- 1.472	0.461	2.369	1.37 5	0.20 7	0.68 1	18.90 2	11.2 35	8.44 4	22. 89	10.16 8	5.293
2_9e.m ol	464.61	5	2	5.04 9	8	1.047	- 2.644	0.708	1.14 2	2.58 1	- 1.32 6	19.86 3	11.6 3	8.59 8	23. 84 3	10.80 4	5.367
2_9f.m ol	468.57	5	3	5.15 7	7	0.157	-1.1	1.826	3.02 8	0.24 4	- 0.22 5	19.20 2	11.3 41	8.55 9	23. 77 6	10.34 9	5.34
2_9g.m ol	486.56	5	3	5.29 6	7	- 1.923	0.997	2.088	3.73 7	- 0.39 5	- 0.42 3	19.50 3	11.4 46	8.68 1	24. 66 5	10.53 4	5.402
2_9h.m ol	485.02	5	3	5.53 5	7	0.073	- 1.124	1.925	4.69 9	- 0.23 5	-0.8	20.02	11.7 49	9.03 1	24. 12	10.57 5	5.48
2_9i.m ol	424.54	5	2	4.25	7	- 0.835	- 1.404	1.708	0.4	1.13 5	1.13	17.78 5	10.5 85	7.87 5	21. 23 8	9.477	4.771
2_9j.m ol	424.54	5	2	4.25	7	- 0.834	- 1.402	1.708	0.40 1	1.13 6	1.13	17.78 5	10.5 85	7.87 5	21. 23 8	9.477	4.771
2_9k.m ol	440.6	4	2	4.59 3	7	- 0.381	- 0.064	2.771	1.45 1	0.58 4	1.89 2	18.66 8	11.5 36	8.89 9	21. 60 7	9.723	4.921
2_91.m ol	440.6	4	2	4.82 9	7	- 0.848	-1.69	0.86	1.40 9	0.44	1.37 7	18.66 8	11.5 91	8.75 3	21. 60 7	9.723	4.921
2_9m. mol	423.56	4	3	4.07 2	7	0.461	- 1.077	- 1.397	- 1.53	1.44 1	2.34 4	17.87 7	10.6 84	7.98 1	21. 23 8	9.477	4.771
2_9N. mol	440.59	5	3	3.87 6	9	1.618	1.393	0.754	-1.7	8.95 7	- 0.25 4	19.09 2	10.9 14	8.70 6	23. 61 3	10.16 1	6.283
2_9O. mol	426.56	5	3	3.63 6	10	1.62	1.07	- 0.858	- 1.68 4	9.83 3	0.15	18.00 7	10.6 1	7.67 3	22. 64 7	10.85 7	5.838
2_9P. mol	463.58	5	3	4.25 9	7	1.451	1.381	- 1.332	- 4.97 2	- 4.06 5	1.64	19.28 5	11.5 66	8.78 3	22. 53 8	9.615	4.541
2_9Q.	477.61	5	3	4.27	7	1.035	-	-	-	-	1.42	20.20	11.9	9.16	23.	9.853	4.646

 Table 2: Complete set data with predicted and residual values

Siva Prasad N et al.

Der Pharma Chemica, 2017, 9(14):127-135

mol				3			0.388	0.207	4.32 4	1.15 8	1	8	96	2	47 7		_
1.mol	394.51	4	2	3.86 8	6	- 2.564	1.146	1.363	3.36 6	- 8.30 7	- 1.41 3	16.45 5	9.98 7	7.48 2	19. 38 8	8.652	4.323
11A.m ol	438.57	4	3	2.97 1	7	2.805	1.166	- 1.279	1.62 8	5.55 7	2.33 5	18.25 2	11.4 91	9.15	20. 81 9	8.545	3.937
11B.m ol	474.6	4	3	3.96 5	7	2.973	1.104	-1.37	- 5.82 6	- 3.04 4	4.97 8	19.64 8	12.0 41	9.17 9	22. 89 5	9.842	4.675
11c.mo 1	543.48	4	3	5.00 1	7	3.313	- 1.077	- 1.273	- 9.94 5	- 5.88	5.89 6	21.88 3	13.0 63	10.3 46	25. 32 5	10.66 1	5.197
11D.m ol	475.59	5	3	3.11 8	7	1.995	1.212	- 3.326	- 2.73 4	2.10 1	2.84 3	19.51 7	11.8 91	9.05 5	23. 20 4	10.03 9	4.789
11E.m ol	475.59	5	3	3.05 2	7	0.878	1.381	- 1.556	- 2.35 4	2.42 7	2.58 2	19.51 7	11.9 01	9.02 3	23. 20 4	10.03 9	4.789
11F.m ol	463.58	5	3	2.67 5	7	0.892	- 0.554	- 1.843	1.71 2	5.19 3	2.14 7	19.01 7	11.6 91	8.98 7	22. 51	9.598	4.531
11G.m ol	467.62	5	4	2.20 3	7	2.062	1.884	- 0.965	5.85 7	10.7 82	0.51	19.46	12.2 25	9.51 6	22. 65 1	9.687	4.582
11H.m ol	478.62	4	3	2.84 5	7	- 27.05 2	- 0.224	9.642	7.62 3	3.26 9	- 0.55 6	20.01 7	12.1 38	9.50 3	23. 22 3	9.694	4.556
11I.mo 1	463.58	5	3	2.12 7	7	3.829	- 0.978	- 1.342	5.43	10.3 8	1.73 4	19.01 7	11.6 91	9.03	22. 51	9.598	4.531
11Jm ol	513.64	4	4	3.73 8	7	2.696	- 0.964	0.437	- 4.54 2	1.41	1.85 5	21.14 8	13.0 35	10.0 58	24. 21 8	10.04 2	4.546
11K.m ol	464.57	5	4	2.64 7	7	0.472	-0.43	- 3.573	1.82 5	5.54 6	3.17 9	18.86 3	11.4 84	8.74 3	22. 47 3	9.574	4.517
11L.m ol	519.7	4	4	4.08 1	8	4.331	1.636	- 0.879	- 1.12 9	3.66 1	2.62 8	22.46 8	13.4 41	10.3 89	25. 93 1	10.68 4	4.93
11M.m ol	480.62	4	3	3.25 7	7	0.602	- 0.714	- 1.949	- 1.44 7	2.46	3.78 7	19.78 4	12.4 76	9.84 2	22. 52 9	9.609	4.537
11N.m ol	464.56	5	3	2.91 4	7	2.705	1.139	- 1.526	- 0.48 4	3.60 8	3.12 5	18.90 1	11.5 25	8.78 4	22. 16 3	9.379	4.406
110.m ol	478.59	5	3	2.92 8	7	2.715	1.14	- 1.226	1.12	5.45 8	2.52	19.82 4	11.9 49	9.21 7	23. 10 1	9.618	4.63
11P.m ol	492.62	5	3	3.39 5	7	1.318	-0.79	- 1.157	0.38 4	5.54 4	2.87 2	20.74 6	12.3 66	9.65 6	24. 04 2	9.858	4.726
9a.mol	400.53	3	2	3.50 8	7	0.534	8.285	- 1.162	- 2.61 2	- 1.84 8	0.45	16.59 1	10.4 12	8.1	19. 02 1	8.808	4.464
9b.mol	400.53	3	2	3.50 8	7	4.742	4.583	1.181	- 0.31 1	- 3.27 5	- 0.45 3	16.59 1	10.4 12	8.1	19. 02 1	8.808	4.464
9c.mol	385.46	5	2	3.74 4	7	- 5.152	- 1.948	- 3.387	3.51 5	0.13 1	1.84 9	15.57 8	9.41 7	6.87 2	18. 96 5	8.77	4.44
9d.mol	400.52	3	3	1.48 9	7	- 3.063	- 4.425	1.249	0.53 1	- 10.5 4	- 1.06 7	16.54 5	10.2 82	7.84 7	19. 46 3	8.702	4.5
9e.mol	400.52	3	3	1.48 9	7	-3.88	- 2.605	- 0.901	- 0.42 1	- 6.63 3	- 0.88 7	16.54 5	10.2 82	7.84 7	19. 46 3	8.702	4.5
9F.mol	401.51	3	4	1.37 1	7	- 3.111	- 5.005	1.172	1.47 9	- 11.1 3	- 1.51 1	16.33 8	10.0 59	7.55 5	19. 42 5	8.677	4.485
9g.mol	414.5	4	3	0.93 4	7	- 6.666	- 1.808	- 0.665	- 2.20 5	- 8.23 1	- 0.66 2	16.74 6	10.2 63	7.77 5	20. 09 4	8.729	4.314
9H.mol	429.52	5	4	1.59 1	8	- 3.429	- 4.046	1.712	2.49	- 10.8 4	- 1.71	17.23 3	10.4 82	7.89 6	21. 38	9.571	4.682
9I.mol	440.55	4	3	1.32 6	8	-5.5	- 1.119	- 3.886	- 1.87	- 6.84	- 0.43 7	17.94	10.8 95	8.13 8	21. 77 8	9.836	4.834

Siva Prasad N et al.

Der Pharma Chemica, 2017, 9(14):127-135

9J.mol	440.55	4	3	1.32 6	8	-5.5	- 1.119	- 3.886	- 1.87 2	- 6.84	- 0.43 8	17.94	10.8 95	8.13 8	21. 77 8	9.836	4.834
9K.mol	440.55	4	3	1.32 6	8	- 2.819	- 4.265	1.82	- 1.28	- 7.12 9	- 0.58 7	17.94	10.8 95	8.13 8	21. 77 8	9.836	4.834
9L.mol	402.49	4	3	2.02 1	7	- 4.382	-4.56	1.945	3.29 1	- 8.46 9	- 1.38 2	16.24 6	9.96	7.42 6	19. 42 5	8.677	4.485
9M.mo 1	418.55	3	3	2.36 4	7	-5.1	- 2.863	- 1.251	1.77 3	- 2.97 2	- 0.49 7	17.12 9	10.9 11	8.67	19. 79 2	8.92	4.636
9N.mol	437.56	5	4	0.93 4	7	- 4.528	- 3.084	- 1.566	- 2.30 7	- 8.60 9	- 0.59	17.53 7	11.7	9.27 5	20. 63 2	8.703	4.822
9O.mol	428.58	3	3	2.71 6	7	- 3.784	- 2.633	- 0.889	2.29 7	- 4.21 7	- 0.83 3	18.33 8	11.0 59	9.13 2	21. 35 1	8.796	4.398
9P.mol	414.55	3	3	2.05 1	7	- 3.856	- 2.664	- 0.809	0.88 4	- 5.82	- 0.28 7	17.41 6	10.7 2	8.28 6	20. 40 5	8.931	4.435
9Q.mol	428.58	3	3	2.44 7	8	- 3.849	- 2.632	- 0.813	1.91 2	- 5.00 4	0.13 8	18.12 3	11.2 58	8.51 2	21. 35 1	9.553	4.671
9r.mol	490.65	3	3	3.66 5	9	- 2.776	- 4.727	1.391	10.3 38	- 3.82 7	1.95 1	20.50 9	12.8 15	9.79 4	24. 07 9	10.99 5	5.531
9s.mol	440.59	3	3	2.39 5	8	- 2.196	- 4.547	2.404	4.65 5	- 9.97 7	- 0.09	18.83 8	11.3 09	8.82 4	22. 05 3	9.618	4.799
9T.mol	436.5	3	3	2.38 6	7	- 4.987	- 4.288	1.415	4.44 7	- 7.56 3	- 1.71 4	17.09 4	10.4 37	8.03 4	21. 21 9	8.713	4.349

New regression model-training and validation sets

A new regression model was attempted by dividing the complete set as training and validation sets based on selection criteria after rejecting outliers from the data set. The selection of molecules in the training set was made according to the activity data, so that representatives of a wide range of structures with different substituents, atoms and activity were included. The distribution of activity values for the validation set follows the similar distribution of the activity values for the training set [15].

Training set

A 61 molecule complete set was divided into 51 molecule training set and a 6 molecule validation set after rejecting 4 compounds as outliers. Several runs were performed on training set by varying the number of independent variables. After each analysis, the obtained equation was applied on validation set and graphs were plotted. Once the equation predicts activity of validation set then the predictive validity of the model was estimated (Figure 2).





Figure 2: Actual versus predicted values of training set comprising of 51 compounds

Mol	Actual	Predicted
2-9a.mol	1.301	1.625
2-9b.mol	1.823	1.760
2-9k.mol	1.102	1.166
1.mol	2.698	2.249
9-1.mol	0.832	0.933
9-t.mol	2.823	2.704

Table 3: Validation dataset of 6 molecules

Validation of regression equation

After obtaining the regression model, it is important to determine the reliability and significance. These can be used to check if the size of the model is appropriate for the quantity of data available, as well as to provide some estimate of how well the model can predict the activity for new molecules.

One such validation procedure is dividing the set as training and test set and then applying training set equation on test set data. This will ensure applicability of the equation to ascertain values on external dataset. The above equation (2) was applied on test set molecules and the data is shown in Table 3 and the graphs are given in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.



Figure 3: Actual versus predicted values of validation set compounds showing r2 and r₀²



Figure 4: Predicted versus Actual values of validation set compounds showing r² and r₀²

Figure 3 represents the predicted values of test set data when Equation (2) was applied and the regression coefficient (r^2) was obtained. However, apart from r^2 , when the regression line passes through the origin, another regression coefficient (r_o^2) was plotted and it was observed that this value is also within the limits.

Alternatively, Regression plot between actual vs. predicted values of compounds from validation set justifies the predictive ability of regression model. However, a reverse graph, viz., Regression plot between predicted vs. actual values of compounds needs to be plotted in order to assess the predictive ability. Figure 4 represents predicted vs. actual values of test data set where r^2 and r'_o^2 suggests the predictive validity of Equation (2).

Predictive validity

When analysis is run with varied independent variables, the validation set reported valid results by passing all the conditions set. The calculations are given below.

Calculation of k and k': All values of k are within the defined limits.

Formula: $k = \frac{\sum actual \times \sum predicted}{predicted^2}$	$\mathbf{k'} = \frac{\sum \text{predicted } x \sum \text{actual}}{\text{actual}^2}$
----------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	Validation	set
Molecules	Actual values	Predicted values
2-9a.mol	1.301	1.625
2-9b.mol	1.824	1.760
2-9k.mol	1.102	1.166
1.mol	2.699	2.249
9-1.mol	0.833	0.933
9-t.mol	2.824	2.704
Summation	10.582	10.437
$k = \frac{10.582 \times 10.437}{10.437}$	-1 101	

 $(10.437^2) = 1.1$

Interpretation of variables in regression equation

	Validation set	
Molecules	Predicted	Actual
	values	values
2-9a.mol	1.625	1.301
2-9b.mol	1.760	1.824
2-9k.mol	1.166	1.102
1.mol	2.249	2.699
9-1.mol	0.933	0.833
9-t.mol	2.704	2.824
Summation	10.437	10.582
$k' = \frac{10.437 \times 10.5}{10.437 \times 10.5}$	$\frac{82}{2} = 0.986$	

 $=\frac{10.137 \times 10.302}{(10.582^2)}=0.986$

From equation 2, it can be observed that the logP, Kappa1 index and Kier ChiV1 properties on these inhibitors have positive contribution towards AKT inhibition. On the other hand, negative contribution of dipole X component and Kappa3 renders better AKT inhibition. The Kappa index [16] is a molecule shape index based on the assumption that the shape of a molecule is a function of the number of atoms and their bonding relationship. Kappa 1 shows the degree of complexity of a bonding pattern. Kappa 2 indicates the degree of linearity of bonding patterns. Kappa 3 indicates the degree of branching at the center of a molecule, larger for predominantly linear molecules with branching at the ends. Equation 2 suggests that a high value of kappa1 and a low value of kappa3 indices are favorable for activity.

CONCLUSION

AKT inhibitors have been widely studied in cancer progression and disease. Hence, an attempt made to evaluate the influential descriptors for AKT inhibition has been studied using multivariate regression analysis. Predictive validity of the model was estimated. The analysis resulted in few parameters displayed significant positive and negative contribution towards activity of AKT inhibitors. A regression model attempted by dividing the complete set (n=61) as a 51 molecule training set and a 6 molecule validation set resulted in a regression model.

The generated equations when applied on test set molecules resulted in better predictive values. Hence, designing or screening compound libraries for new compounds or analogs with possible increase in logP values, Kappa1 and Kier-ChiV bond parameters with decrease in diploe X component and Kappa3 index would enhance inhibitory activity against AKT.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.W. Lindsley, S.F. Barnett, M.E. Layton, M.T. Bilodeau, Curr. Cancer. Drug. Targets., 2008, 8, 7.
- [2] X. Lin, J.M. Murray, A.C. Rico, M.X. Wang, D.T. Chu, Y. Zhou, M. Del Rosario, S. Kaufman, S. Ma, E. Fang, K. Crawford, A.B. Jefferson, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **2006**, 16(16), 4163.

[3] I. Collins, J. Caldwell, T. Fonseca, A. Donald, V. Bavetsias, L.J.K. Hunter, M.D. Garrett, M.G. Rowlands, G.W. Aherne, T.G. Davies, V. Berdini, S.J. Woodhead, D. Davies, L.C.A. Seavers, P.G. Wyatt, P. Workman, E. McDonald, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **2006**, 14(4), 1255.

- [4] S. Barnett, M. Bilodeau, C. Lindsley, Curr. Topics Med. Chem., 2005, 5, 109.
- [5] Q. Li, G.D. Zhu, Curr. Topics Med. Chem., 2002, 2, 939.
- [6] K.M. Nicholson, N.G. Anderson, Cell. Signal., 2002, 14, 381.
- [7] I. Vivanco, C. Sawyers, Nat. Rev., 2002, 2, 489.
- [8] J. Gills, P. Dennis, Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs, 2004, 13, 787.
- [9] C. Lindsley, Z. Zhao, H. William, R. Robinson, S. Barnett, D. Defeo Jones, R. Jones, G. Hartman, J. Huff, H. Huber, M. Duggan, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **2005**, 15, 761.
- [10] G.D. Zhu, V.B. Gandhi, J. Gong, Y. Luo, X. Liu, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2006, 16, 3424-3429.
- [11] H. Lin, D.S. Yamashita, J. Zeng, R. Xie, W. Wang, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 673-678.
- [12] A. Golbraikh, A. Tropsha. J. Mol. Graph. Model., 2002, 20, 269-276.
- [13] A. Afantitis, G. Melagraki, H. Sarimveis, P.A. Koutentis, J. Markopoulos, O. Igglessi-Markopoulou, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 14, 2006, 6686-6694.
- [14] D. Kim, S. Hong, D. Lee, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2006, 7, 556-570.
- [15] A. Afantitis, G. Melagraki, H. Sarimveis, P.A. Koutentis, J. Markopoulos, O. Igglessi-Markopoulou, J. Comput. Aided. Mol. Des., 2006, 20, 83-95.
- [16] L.B. Kier, L.H. Hall, J. Pharmacol. Sci., 1983, 72, 1170-1173.