

ISSN 0975-413X CODEN (USA): PCHHAX

Der PharmaChemica, 2016, 8(19):671-678 (http://derpharmachemica.com/archive.html)

Detection of volatile compounds emitted by *Proteus mirabilis* isolated from UTI patients and its anti-fungal potential

Amean Al-Yaseri¹, Wurood Alwan Kadhim² and Imad Hadi Hameed^{*1}

¹College of Nursing, University of Babylon, Iraq ²College of Science for Women, University of Babylon, Iraq

ABSTRACT

The aims of this research were analysis of the bioactive chemical products and evaluation of antibacterial and antifungal activity. Bioactives (chemical compounds often referred to as secondary metabolites) were analyzed using gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) techniques, then the in vitro antibacterial and antifungal activity of the methanolic extract was evaluated. Proteus mirabilis bioactive compounds were identified in the methanolic extract of Proteus mirabilis. GC-MS analysis of Proteus mirabilis revealed the existence of the 1-Cyclopropyl-3,4-epoxyhex -5-en-1-yne, Benzene , (ethylsulfonyl), Pyrazolo[1.5-a]pyridine , 3-methyl-2-phenyl , 1-benzylindole , L-Proline , N-(cyclohexanecarbonyl)-,propyl , 3-Amino-7-nitro-1,2,4-benzotriazine 1-oxide , (+)-trans-3,4-Dimethyl-2 -phenyltetrahydro-1,4-thiazine , Figure: Isophthalic acid ,di(2-methoxyethyl) ester , 4-Benzyloxy-N-methylamphetamine, 1-(2-Benzyloxy-4-methyl- cyclohex-3-enyl)-1 -methyl-ethylamine , Ethyl 4-([(E)-(2-nitrophenyl) methylidene]amino) benzoate , Tolpropamine , Methcathinone , 4-Dehydroxy-N-(4,5-methylenedioxy -2-nitrobenzylidene)tyram. The results of anti-fungal activity produced by Staphylococcus aureus showed that the volatile compounds were highly effective to suppress the growth of Aspergillus terreus.

Keywords: Antifungal activity, Proteus mirabilis, GC-MS, Secondary metabolites.

INTRODUCTION

Escherichia coli, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Enterococcus faecalis and Enterobacter cloacae [1], are The most common bacterial uropathogens in UTI. *Proteus mirabilis* is a rod-shaped, Gram-negative facultative anaerobe that causes 4% of UTIs [2]. Several potential *P. mirabilis* virulence factors related to UTI have been described, including fimbrial-mediated adherence to the uroepithelium, swarming motility mediated by flagella, outer-membrane protein (OMP) expression, cell invasiveness, urease production, hemolysin production, and iron acquisition [3,4]. *P. mirabilis* is a common cause of UTI in the complicated urinary tract, most frequently in patients with indwelling catheters or structural abnormalities of the urinary tract [5]. P. mirabilis initiates the colonization of the urinary tract colonizing the periurethral region. Then, this microorganism passes through the urethra and access to the bladder. *P. mirabilis* expresses the enzyme urease that hydrolyzes urea to ammonia, leading to alkaline urine and the formation of kidney stones. These stones can cause obstruction and renal failure, and bacteria can persist within them to survive antibiotic therapy. P. mirabilis expresses several virulence factor involved in uropathogenesis like adhesins, flagella, toxins, quorum-sensing, enzymes and immune invasion [6]. This microorganism presents swarming motility ability. This phenomenon occurs on 1.5% of agar surface and describes flagellum-dependent movement across the surface, resulting a characteristic bull's eyes pattern [6].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth conditions and determination of metabolites

Proteus mirabilis strain was isolated from bronchitis patients and obtained from Maternity and children hospital. Subcultures were obtained on the Nutrient Agar for 48 hrs. at 22°C. The mixture was incubated at 4°C for 10 min and then shook for 10 min at 130 rpm. Metabolites was separated from the liquid culture and evaporated to dryness with a rotary evaporator at 45°C. The residue was dissolved in 1 ml methanol, filtered through a 0.2 μ m syringe filter, and stored at 4°C for 24 h before being used for GC-MS. The identification of the components was based on comparison of their mass spectra with those of NIST mass spectral library as well as on comparison of their retention indices either with those of authentic compounds or with literature values [7-22].

The studied fungi, Aspergillus terreus, Aspergillus flavus, Candida albicans, Microsporum canis, Trichophyton mentagrophytes and Trichoderma viride were isolated and maintained in potato dextrose agar slants. Spores were grown in a liquid culture of potato dextrose broth (PDB) and incubated at 25°C in a shaker for 16 days at 130 rpm. The extraction was performed by adding 25 ml methanol to 100 ml liquid culture in an Erlenmeyer flask after the infiltration of the culture [23-31].

Spectral analysis of bioactive chemical compounds using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS)

Analysis was conducted using GC-MS (Agilent 789 A) equipped with a DB-5MS column (30 m×0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 um film thickness, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). The oven temperature was programmed as for the previous analysis. Helium was used as the carrier gas at the rate of 1.0 mL/min. Effluent of the GC column was introduced directly into the source of the MS via a transfer line (250oC). Ionization voltage was 70 eV and ion source temperature was 230oC. Scan range was 41- 450 amu. The components were identified by comparing their retention times to those of authentic samples of WILEY MASS SPECTRAL DATA BASE Library [32-38].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy analysis of compounds was carried out in methanolic extract of *Proteus mirabilis*. The GC-MS chromatogram of the thirty one peaks of the compounds detected was shown in Figure 1. The First set up peak were determined to be 1,2-cis-1,5-trans-2,5-dihydroxy-4-methyl-1-(1-htdroxy-1-isopropyl)cy, Figure 2. The second peak indicated to be 2-Furancarboxaldehyde, 5-methyl, Figure 3. The next peaks considered to be 1-Cyclopropyl-3,4-epoxyhex -5-en-1-yne, Benzene , (ethylsulfonyl), Pyrazolo[1.5-a]pyridine , 3-methyl-2-phenyl , 1-benzylindole , L-Proline , N-(cyclohexanecarbonyl)-,propyl , 3-Amino-7-nitro-1,2,4-benzotriazine 1-oxide , (+)-trans-3,4-Dimethyl-2 -phenyltetrahydro-1,4-thiazine , Figure: Isophthalic acid ,di(2-methoxyethyl) ester , Isophthalic acid ,di(2-methoxyethyl) ester , 4-Benzyloxy-N-methylamphetamine, 1-(2-Benzyloxy-4-methyl-cyclohex-3-enyl)-1 -methyl-ethylamine , Ethyl 4-([(E)-(2-nitrophenyl) methylidene]amino) benzoate , Tolpropamine , Methcathinone , 4-Dehydroxy-N-(4,5-methylenedioxy -2-nitrobenzylidene)tyram (Figure 4-16). The results of anti-fungal activity produced by *Proteus mirabilis* showed that the volatile compounds were highly effective to suppress the growth of *Aspergillus terreus*. *Proteus mirabilis* produce many important secondary metabolites with high biological activities. Based on the significance of employing bioactive compounds in pharmacy to produce drugs for the treatment of many diseases, the purification of compounds produced by *Proteus mirabilis* can be useful.

Fungi	Proteus mirabilis metabolite products / Antibiotics		
	Proteus mirabilis metabolite products	Amphotericin B	Fluconazol
Aspergillus terreus	7.00±0.32 ª	3.66±0.13	2.03±0.18
Aspergillus flavus	5.99±0.27	2.86±0.20	3.97±0.26
Candida albicans	6.13±0.21	3.00±0.17	2.95.±0.11
Microsporum canis	4.00±0.18	2.93±0.19	2.82±0.10
Trichoderma viride	4.27±0.25	2.22±0.13	2.52±0.13
Trichophyton mentagrophytes	3.99±0.19	1.98±0.11	1.86 ± 0.10

Table 1. Antifungal activity of Proteus mirabilis metabolite products.

^{*a*} The values (average of triplicate) are diameter of zone of inhibition at 100 mg/mL crude extract and 30 µg/mL of (Amphotericin B and Fluconazol).

Maximum zone formation against *Aspergillus terreus* (7.00 ± 0.32) mm, Table 2. Antibiotic resistance is increasing worldwide in both outpatients as well as hospitalized patients. It varies according to geographic locales and is directly proportional to the use and misuse of antibiotics. Despite newer antibiotic, continued selective antibiotic pressure and bacterial adaptation have resulted in a problem that can no longer be ignored. Resistance can now be demonstrated against all available classes of antibiotics [39-41]. Multiple drug-resistant organisms used in the current study are becoming common causes of infections in the acute and long-term care units in hospitals. *P*.

aeruginosa is responsible for 16% of nosocomial pneumonia cases, 12% of hospital- acquire durinary tract infections, 8% of surgical wound infections, and 10% of blood stream infections.



Figure 1: GC-MS chromatogram of methanolic extract of Proteus mirabilis.









Acknowledgements

I sincerely wish to thank Dr. Ali Omer for providing me the opportunity to work on this project. I am thankful to you for helping me through the various analysis stages, and for providing helpful criticism and feedback throughout the writing process.

REFERENCES

- [1] B Foxman. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America. 2014, 28, 1-13.
- [2] Graham JC, Galloway A. J Clin Pathol. 2001, 54, 911-9.
- [3] Rozalski A, SIDORCZYK Z and KOTELKO K. Microbiol. molec. Biol. Rev. 1997, 61, 65-89.
- [4] Sosa V, Schlapp G, Zunino P. Microbiology. 2006, 152, 2149-2157.
- [5] JW Warren, J.H. Tenney, J.M. Hoopes, H.L. Muncie and W.C. Anthony. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 146, pp. 719–723, **1982**.
- [6] C.E. Armbruster and H.L.T. Mobley. Nature Reviews Microbiology, vol. 10, 743–754. 2012.
- [7] Kadhim MJ, Sosa AA, Hameed IH. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research. 8(6), 127-146 (2016).
- [8] Mohammed GJ, Kadhim MJ, Hussein HM. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research. 2016; 8(6): 889-905.
- [9] Hameed IH, Altameme HJ, Idan SA. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*. **2016**; 7(2): 1843-1868
- [10] Hussein AO, Mohammed GJ, Hadi MY, Hameed IH. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy*. **2016**; 8(3): 49-59.
- [11] Sosa AA, Bagi SH, Hameed IH. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research. 2016; 8(5): 109-126.
- [12] Altameme H J, Hadi MY, Hameed IH. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2015a; 7(10): 238-252.
- [13] Mohammed GJ, Omran AM, Hussein HM. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research. 2016; 8(6): 977-996.
- [14] Hamza LF, Kamal SA, Hameed IH. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2015; 7(9): 194-220.
- [15] Jasim H, Hussein AO, Hameed IH, Kareem MA. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy*. **2015**; 7(4): 56-72.
- [16] Hadi MY, Mohammed GJ, Hameed IH. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2016; 8(2): 8-24.
- [17] Hameed IH, Ibraheam IA, Kadhim HJ. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2015; 7 (6): 90-106.
- [18] Shareef HK, Muhammed HJ, Hussein HM, Hameed IH. Oriental Journal of Chemistry. 2016; 32(2): 20-40.
- [19] Al-Jassaci MJ, Mohammed GJ, Hameed IH. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*. **2016**; 8(5): 304-315.
- [20] Mohammed GJ, Al-Jassani MJ, Hameed IH. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research. 2016; 8(3): 480-494.
- [21] Al-Marzoqi AH, Hadi MY, Hameed IH. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2016; 8(2): 25-48.
- [22] Altameme HJ, Hameed IH, Abu-Serag NA. Malays. Appl. Biol. 2015b; 44(4): 47-58.
- [23] Hameed IH, Hamza LF, Kamal SA. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2015b;7(8): 132-163.
- [24] Hameed IH, Hussein HJ, Kareem MA, Hamad NS. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy*. **2015**; 7 (7): 107-125.
- [25] Hussein HM, Hameed IH, Ibraheem OA. International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research. 2016; 8(3): 369-385.
- [26] Hussein HJ, Hadi MY, Hameed IH. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy. 2016; 8(3): 60-89.
- [27] Kadhim MJ, Mohammed GJ, Hameed IH. Oriental Journal of Chemistry. 2016; 32(2): 10-30.
- [28] Altameme HJ, Hameed IH, Idan SA, Hadi MY. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy*. **2015c**; 7(9): 221-237.
- [29] Hussein HM. Int. J Pharm Bio Sci. 2016;7(3): (B) 1009 1017.
- [30] Hussein HM. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2016;7(4): 2529 2555.
- [31] Jaddoa HH, Hameed IH, Mohammed GJ. Orient J Chem. 2016;32(4).
- [32] Hameed IH, Salman HD, Mohammed GJ. Orient J Chem. 2016;32(4).
- [33] Kadhim MJ, Mohammed GJ, Hussein HM. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2016; 8(7): 655-670.
- [34] Ubaid JM, Hussein HM, Hameed IH. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2016; 8(7): 655-670.
- [35] Hameed IH, Jebor MA, Ommer AJ, Abdulzahra AI. Mitochondrial DNA. 2016; 27(2): 1324-1327.
- [36] Hameed IH. Mitochondrial DNA. 2016; 27(5): 3250-4.
- [37] Mohammad A, Imad H. Research Journal of Biotechnology. 2013.
- [38] Hameed, I.H., Abdulzahra, A.I., Jebor, M.A., Kqueen, C.Y., Ommer, A.J. Mitochondrial DNA. 2015.

[39] Rybak MJ, Akins RL. Drugs 2001; 61:1–7.

- [40] He Y, Wu B, Yang J, Robinson D, Risen L, Ranken R. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2003;13: 3253–6.
 [41] Lin RD, Yi-Ping C, Wen-Chin H, Lee MH. *PhytotherRes* 2005; 19:612–7.