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ABSTRACT

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) studies on a series of 16 Sulmazole analogues have been reported in this paper. The study has been made utilizing Topological indices and Connectivity indices. The results have been critically discussed using different statistical parameters. Six estimated models were obtained, out of which the bi-parametric model has been considered to be the best. The robustness of the best estimated model has been evaluated using ridge regression which indicated that multicollinearity is not a problem in this model. Our results indicate that with the decrease in the value of third order valency connectivity index and with the increase in the value of Balaban index G, cardiotonic activity [log (I/IC\textsubscript{50})] increases. The values of statistical parameters obtained are: \( R^2 = 0.7049, R^2/\text{A} = 0.6312, \text{CV} = 0.0460, \text{F-ratio} = 9.556 \)
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INTRODUCTION

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) is the study of how the physico-chemical properties of compounds affect their biological activity. The compounds taken under consideration in the present paper are Sulmazole analogues [1-5]. Sulmazole are the cardiotonic agents which posses contractile properties due to which they increase the sensitivity of the myofibrils to Ca++ [6]. A number of topological indices [7-17] have been determined for these compounds such as Weiner index [16], Platt’s index [18-21], Schultz molecular topological index [22,23], Balaban indices (J, F and G) [24], Zagreb’s group indices (\( M_1 \) & \( M_2 \)) [25], Randić’s connectivity indices (\( X^0, X^1, X^2 \) and \( X^3 \)) [26-29] and Kier and Hall’s valency connectivity indices (\( X^0, X^1, X^2 \) and \( X^3 \)) [30,31].

A series of 16 sulmazole analogues has been taken for consideration. All the above topological indices have been obtained for these compounds. A number of estimated models have been obtained based on the multiple regression studies. But after evaluation, the bivariate model has been considered to be the best estimated model as the ridge regression statistics [34-36] for this model shows that multicollinearity is not a problem in this model. Further details are discussed in results and discussion section of this paper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The methodology used in the present investigation is QSAR. It is of great importance in modern chemistry and biochemistry. To obtain a significant correlation, it is essential that appropriate descriptors are employed, whether they are theoretical, empirical or derived from readily available experimental characteristics of structures.

QSAR methodology has been helpful in correlating the structure of a large series of sulmazole analogues with their cardiotonic activity [log(I/IC\textsubscript{50})]. Mathematical models have been formed that correlate molecular structure to the activity. Molecular structure has been encoded through the generation of the descriptions which are numerical values corresponding to topological and connectivity indices.
Computational Section

1. The Cardiotonic Activity:
The Cardiotonic activity of the series of Sulmazole analogues is taken from the work of Khadikar et al [1-5].

2. Topological descriptors:
The structure of compounds is drawn by using ACD-labs Chem-sketch Software [37]. The various topological descriptors used in the present study were calculated from the Hydrogen Suppressed molecular graphs of Sulmazole analogues by using Dragon Software [38].

3. Regression Analysis:
The correlation-regression analysis of the data was done by using NCSS-8 Software [39] as well as Origin-6 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we have done modeling of Sulmazole analogues as cardiotonic agents. The biological activities in the form of log(I/IC$_{50}$), along with different substituents on the parent compound (Figure-1), are given in Table-1. The structural details of these compounds are given in Table-2. The descriptors used for obtaining statistically significant models, which were calculated using DRAGON software, are summarized in Table-3 and 4; as many as 17 descriptors have been used. Out of these 17 descriptors, the selection of significant descriptors was done using variable selection for multivariate regression technique mentioned in NCSS software. It was shown that the descriptors W, P, M, J, G, T, $0^X_v$, $1^X_v$, and $3^X_v$ were useful descriptors for obtaining statistically significant models. The maximum number of descriptors is three, which we can use in obtaining appropriate model based on rule of thumb. But NCSS results show that the best model can be obtained using maximum two descriptors as the value of R-squared change is the highest in this model. Thus the bi-variate model obtained is:

Bi-variate model

$$\log(1/IC_{50}) = 3.6253 - 1.1287 \times 0^X_v + 0.1326 \times G$$

$$R^2 = 0.2787, \text{ Adj } R^2 = 0.1678, \text{ Coefficient of Variation } = 0.1363, \text{ F-ratio } = 2.512$$

However, this model does not show the appropriate value of R$^2$. It implies that both these parameters viz., Zero-order valency connectivity index and Balaban Index G are not good for obtaining statistically significant model. However, comparison of estimated activity with the experimental one (Table-6) indicates that there are five compounds viz. 1, 2, 8, 9 and 14, having abnormal residues; therefore, these compounds have been considered as outliers. After deleting these outliers, the selection results obtained are presented in Table-7 and the best estimated models are given in Table-8.

From the perusal of Table-8, it is evident that, as we go on adding a variable, the values of R$^2$ as well as R$^2$A go on increasing. However, according to the rule of thumb, the model size should not exceed 2 or 3. To confirm the robustness of these models, we have considered Ridge regression analysis. The results thereof (Table-10 and Table-11) indicate that bi-parametric model is the best model as VIF values and Condition Numbers are well within the range, and hence, multicollinearity is not a problem.

We have also plotted a graph between actual and predicted values of the activity [log (I/IC$_{50}$)] [Table-9], based on the best model, which also shows that the model obtained is statistically significant.

Best model:

$$\log (1/IC_{50}) = 2.6891 - 0.5549 \times 3^X_v + 3.6782 \times G$$

$$R^2 = 0.7049, R^2A = 0.6312, CV = 0.0460, F-ratio = 9.556$$

This equation shows that, after deletion of outliers, the quality of regression model has improved to a much greater extent, as the value of R$^2$ has increased from 0.2787 to 0.7049. The value of R$^2 = 0.7049$ indicates that the model explains 70.49% variation in the activity.
Figure 1: Parent structure of Sulmazole

![Figure 1: Parent structure of Sulmazole](image)

Table 1: Different Substituents on the Parent Compound and Their log \(\frac{1}{IC_{50}}\) Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound No.</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>log (\frac{1}{IC_{50}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5-OCH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6-OCH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7-OCH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6-NH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6-NH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6-Cl</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6-CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6-OH</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4-OCH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6-OCH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7-OCH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7-NH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>S(O)</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Structural Details of Sulmazole Analogues

<p>| Compound No. 1 | <img src="image" alt="Compound No. 1" /> |
| Compound No. 2 | <img src="image" alt="Compound No. 2" /> |
| Compound No. 3 | <img src="image" alt="Compound No. 3" /> |
| Compound No. 4 | <img src="image" alt="Compound No. 4" /> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 5</th>
<th>Compound 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Compound 5" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Compound 6" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 7</th>
<th>Compound 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Compound 7" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Compound 8" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 9</th>
<th>Compound 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Compound 9" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Compound 10" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 11</th>
<th>Compound 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Compound 11" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Compound 12" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table-3: Calculated Topological Indices of Sulmazole Analogues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>log (I/IC(_{50}))</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3512</td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>6.468</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>1.601</td>
<td>6.404</td>
<td>119.2129</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>1.601</td>
<td>6.404</td>
<td>119.2129</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4462</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>6.568</td>
<td>122.2658</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3512</td>
<td>1.614</td>
<td>6.456</td>
<td>107.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4004</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>113.9544</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4004</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>113.9544</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4004</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>113.9544</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4004</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>113.9544</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3063</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>6.464</td>
<td>101.4567</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3512</td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>6.468</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4462</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>6.568</td>
<td>122.2658</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4582</td>
<td>1.601</td>
<td>6.404</td>
<td>119.2129</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4462</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>6.568</td>
<td>122.2658</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3944</td>
<td>1.639</td>
<td>6.556</td>
<td>115.6478</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3063</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>6.464</td>
<td>101.4567</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-4: Calculated Connectivity Indices of the Compounds Under Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>log (I/IC(_{50}))</th>
<th>X(_0)</th>
<th>X(_1)</th>
<th>X(_2)</th>
<th>X(_3)</th>
<th>X(_v0)</th>
<th>X(_v1)</th>
<th>X(_v2)</th>
<th>X(_v3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>10.618</td>
<td>9.488</td>
<td>8.191</td>
<td>13.852</td>
<td>8.998</td>
<td>7.325</td>
<td>5.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>10.618</td>
<td>9.488</td>
<td>8.191</td>
<td>13.852</td>
<td>8.998</td>
<td>7.347</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>10.635</td>
<td>9.404</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>13.852</td>
<td>8.994</td>
<td>7.312</td>
<td>5.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>14.983</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>9.319</td>
<td>7.768</td>
<td>13.444</td>
<td>8.876</td>
<td>7.484</td>
<td>5.726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where X\(_0\)= Zero-order Randic’s connectivity index, X\(_1\)= First-order Randic’s connectivity index, X\(_2\)= Second-order Randic’s connectivity index, X\(_3\)= Third-order Randic’s connectivity Index,

X\(_v0\)= Zero-order Kier & Hall’s valency connectivity index, X\(_v1\)= First-order Kier & Hall’s valency connectivity index, X\(_v2\)= Second-order Kier & Hall’s valency connectivity index, X\(_v3\)= Third-order Kier & Hall’s valency connectivity index.
Table-5: Selection Results Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>R-Squared</th>
<th>R-Squared Change</th>
<th>Coded Variables</th>
<th>Decoded Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.113561</td>
<td>0.113561</td>
<td>D, J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.278722</td>
<td>0.165161</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.369405</td>
<td>0.090682</td>
<td>BEG</td>
<td>P, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.533518</td>
<td>0.164113</td>
<td>BEHJ</td>
<td>P, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.552641</td>
<td>0.019124</td>
<td>BEHIJ</td>
<td>P, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.562107</td>
<td>0.009465</td>
<td>BEGHIJ</td>
<td>P, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.582521</td>
<td>0.020414</td>
<td>ADEFGHIJ</td>
<td>W, J, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.619245</td>
<td>0.036724</td>
<td>ACDEFGHIJ</td>
<td>W, M, J, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.622339</td>
<td>0.003094</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHIJ</td>
<td>W, P, M, J, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.624523</td>
<td>0.002184</td>
<td>ABCDEFGHIJ</td>
<td>W, P, M, J, G,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-6: Residual Report based on Bi-variate Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual log(1/IC50)</th>
<th>Predicted log(1/IC50)</th>
<th>Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.700</td>
<td>3.790</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.802</td>
<td>-0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.630</td>
<td>3.802</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.140</td>
<td>4.207</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.650</td>
<td>4.852</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.910</td>
<td>4.042</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.740</td>
<td>3.414</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.565</td>
<td>-0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.800</td>
<td>4.189</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.010</td>
<td>4.332</td>
<td>-0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.610</td>
<td>3.644</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.730</td>
<td>4.060</td>
<td>-0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>3.655</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.080</td>
<td>4.060</td>
<td>1.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.720</td>
<td>4.120</td>
<td>-0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.010</td>
<td>4.185</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-7: Selection Results Section After Deleting Compound no. 1, 2, 8, 9 and 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Coded Variables</th>
<th>Decoded Variables</th>
<th>R-Squared</th>
<th>R-Squared Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.475634</td>
<td>0.475634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>G, 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.704930</td>
<td>0.229296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>G, 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.830926</td>
<td>0.125996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BE</td>
<td>P, G, 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.869397</td>
<td>0.038453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BE</td>
<td>P, G, 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.895950</td>
<td>0.026571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BE</td>
<td>P, G, 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.938962</td>
<td>0.043012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>P, G, 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.967048</td>
<td>0.037086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>P, G, 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.991875</td>
<td>0.015826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>W, P, G, 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.999494</td>
<td>0.007619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>W, P, G, 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.000506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-8: Best Estimated Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Selected Variables</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R²A</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
<th>Model Log (1/IC50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>'X'</td>
<td>0.4756</td>
<td>0.4174</td>
<td>0.0578</td>
<td>8.164</td>
<td>5.2479 - 0.2537680 * 'X'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>'X', 'X'</td>
<td>0.7049</td>
<td>0.6312</td>
<td>0.0460</td>
<td>9.556</td>
<td>2.6991 - 0.5549 * 'X' + 3.6782 * G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td>0.8309</td>
<td>0.7585</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>11.467</td>
<td>3.7888 - 1.9636 * 'X' + 1.2918 * 'X' + 0.2512 * G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td>0.8694</td>
<td>0.7823</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>9.984</td>
<td>0.4928 - 1.7336 * 'X' + 1.0524 * 'X' - 0.5376 * P + 0.2512 * G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td>0.8959</td>
<td>0.7919</td>
<td>0.0346</td>
<td>8.611</td>
<td>-0.8860 - 2.9777 * 'X' + 0.9292 * 'X' + 1.5590 * 'X' - 0.9522 * P + 0.4095 * G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>'X', 'X', 'X', 'X', 'X'</td>
<td>0.9390</td>
<td>0.8474</td>
<td>0.0296</td>
<td>10.255</td>
<td>-2.4180 + 1.4027 * 'X' - 9.1765 * 'X' + 1.4038 * 'X' + 7.1442 * 'X' - 1.7449 * P + 0.6581 * G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table-9: Predicted Values with Confidence Limits of Means on the Basis of Best Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Actual C3</th>
<th>Predicted C3</th>
<th>Standard Error of Predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.630</td>
<td>3.856</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.100</td>
<td>3.954</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.640</td>
<td>4.367</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.910</td>
<td>3.767</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.760</td>
<td>3.683</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.010</td>
<td>4.198</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.610</td>
<td>3.524</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.730</td>
<td>3.897</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>3.778</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.720</td>
<td>3.723</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.010</td>
<td>4.124</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Plot of actual vs predicted log(1/IC50) values based on best model

Y = 1.15226 + 0.70437 * X
R = 0.83936, SD = 0.142, N = 11, P = 0.00123

Table-10: Least Squares Multicollinearity Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Variance Inflation</th>
<th>Variance Inflation Vs Other X's</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>3.9244</td>
<td>0.7452</td>
<td>0.2548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20</td>
<td>3.9244</td>
<td>0.7452</td>
<td>0.2548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since all VIF's are less than 10, multicollinearity is NOT a problem.

Table-11: Eigen Values of Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Incremental Eigen value</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
<th>Condition Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.863241</td>
<td>93.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.136759</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All Condition Numbers less than 100. Multicollinearity is NOT a problem.
CONCLUSION

The aforementioned results and discussion lead us to conclude that in modeling of Cardiotonic Activity of Sulmazole analogues, Balaban Index G and Kier & Hall’s third-order Valency Connectivity Index $\chi_v^3$ play a dominating role and yield excellent bi-parametric model.
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