Available online at www.derpharmachemica.com



ISSN 0975-413X CODEN (USA): PCHHAX

Der Pharma Chemica, 2018, 10(8): 47-52 (http://www.derpharmachemica.com/archive.html)

One Pot Multicomponent Synthesis, Biological and DNA Interactions of Cyclohepta[B]Indole Derivatives

Prakash B¹, Amuthavalli A¹, Edison D², Sivaramkumar MS¹, Kirubavathy SJ³

Velmurugan R¹*

¹Department of Chemistry, Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Coimbatore-641029, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Science and Humanities, Adithya Institute of Technology, Coimbatore-641107

Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Chemistry, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore-641004, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

A chronological one-pot, atom economical multicomponent reaction yielding biologically capable cyclohepta[b]indole derivatives (6a-d, 7a-d) through a tandem Knoevenagel condensation followed by Michael addition. The reaction takes place under the organocatalyst L-proline. All the compounds were characterized by spectral and elemental analysis. The compounds were tested for their antimicrobial and DNA binding/cleavage studies. Antimicrobial results indicated that all the compounds have been significantly inhibit the growth of tested microorganisms. Especially compounds with chloro group exhibit potent activity. DNA cleavage of the compounds was study by agarose gel electrophoresis method with pUC19 DNA and found that most of the compounds have significant ability to cleave the DNA. Furthermore, the DNA binding property of the potent derivatives (6c, 7c) was studied by absorption spectra, the results showed that these compounds binds to calf thymus DNA (CT-DNA) in an intercalative mode and its intrinsic binding constants Kb is 3.74×10^4 and 6.73×10^4 .

Keywords: Multicomponent, Cyclohepta[b]indole, Antimicrobial, DNA binding/cleavage.

INTRODUCTION

Multicomponent one pot reactions have huge attention in the synthesis of biologically efficient organic compounds using readily available starting materials with step ecomomics condition is the everlasting aspire of synthetic organic and medicinal chemistry [1,2]. This is due to its capability to carrying simultaneously more than three components in one pot, yielding highly proficient molecules and high atom economy [3-5]. Many of the biologically active different heterocycles systems are prepared by using one pot multicomponent reactions [6-9].

Nitrogen heterocycles in general and indoles in particular, are important scaffolding in biology. Indole and its derivatives are most attracting remarkable consideration because of their vast range of pharmaceutical and medicinal activities, numerous occurrences in natural products [10,11]. A huge number of synthetic indoles have been found an admired significance in pharmaceutical and medical applications, since they can able to bind to many receptors with high affinity [12-14]. Among them cyclohepta[b]indole derivatives have great attention because they exist in many natural products such as methuenine, ajmaline, 20-epiervatamine, ervitsine, episilicine, homoarcyriaflavin and caulersine [15,16] and it often shows broad range of potent biological activities, for example anticancer, antimicrobial, antitumor, anti-inflammatory and antimalarial activities [17,18].

Inspired by the biological significance of cyclohepta[b]indole derivatives and in continuation of our work, we employed multicomponent one pot synthesis of biologically active cyclohepta[b]indole derivative *via* Knoevenagel condensation reaction using a organocatalyst L-proline and the derivatives were characterized by Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR), Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹H-NMR), Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹SC-NMR) and elemental analysis. The pharmacological activities of the synthesized compounds were evaluated by their antimicrobial, DNA cleavage and DNA binding properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental section

General

Melting points (degree celsius) of the synthesized compounds were checked in open capillary tubes by using a Raaga melting point apparatus and found uncorrected. All the chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck, India. All reactions were carried out under atmospheric air and the products were checked by thin layer chromatography on TLC silica gel 60 F254 using eluting solvents such as ethyl acetate and petroleum ether. The synthesized compounds were purified by column chromatography using column silica gel 100-200 mesh (Petroleum ether /ethyl acetate 1: 2). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu spectrophotometer in the region of 4000–400 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance III Spectrometer (400 MHz) with the solvent DMSO. ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance 300 Spectrometer in CDCl₃ (75 MHz) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. Coupling constants (*J* values) are reported in Hertz. Elemental analyses were performed on an Elementar Vario EL III CHN analyser.

Chemical synthesis

Synthesis of cyclohepta[b]indoles (6a-d)

A mixture of 7,8,9,10-Tetrahydro-5H-cyclohepta[b]indol-6-one derivatives (1a-d, 1 mmol), 2,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde (2, 1 mmol), malononitrile (3, 1 mmol), ammonium acetate (5, 1 mmol) and L-proline (1 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml) was refluxed on a oil bath for 2 h. After completion of the reaction, the excess of solvent was removed. The precipitate formed was dissolved in ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate. Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. It was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether: ethyl acetate (99: 1) as eluent to yield the respective compounds 6a-d.

2-Amino-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carbonitrile (**6a**): Yield; 65%; M.p. 223°C-225°C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3401 (N-H), 3325, 3251(NH₂), 2207 (C=N), 1612 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)=2.37 (p, 2H, J= 2.4 Hz); 2.88 (t, 2H, J=5.2 Hz); 3.27 (t, 2H, J=6.4 Hz); 4.42 (s, 2H, NH₂); 7.08-7.56 (m, 7H, Ar-H); 11.45 (s, 1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)=26.72, 27.53, 31.49, 91.84, 114.49, 116.09, 120.19, 120.53, 121.12, 123.35, 123.41, 123.58, 124.85, 126.14, 127.21, 133.52, 133.89, 134.43, 136.14, 137.21, 153.52, 165.19, 167.43. Anal. Calcd For C₂₃H₁₆N₄Cl₂ (419.30): C, 65.88, H, 3.84, N, 13.36; Found: C, 65.89, H, 3.86, N, 13.38.

2-Amino-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-9-methyl-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carbonitrile (**6b**): Yield; 68%; M.p. 227°C-229°C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3417 (N-H), 3347, 3275 (NH₂), 2218 (C=N), 1657 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)=2.12 (p, 2H, J=5.6 Hz); 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.82 (t, 2H, J=5.2 Hz); 3.11 (t, 2H, J=6.4 Hz); 4.43 (s, 2H, NH₂); 7.13-7.65 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 11.26 (s, 1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)=11.50, 15.47, 22.46, 27.08, 90.47, 114.52, 117.50, 120.01, 121.45, 123.62, 124.96, 125.41, 125.57, 126.84, 127.14, 127.96, 129.22, 129.67, 134.31, 135.11, 137.72, 151.88, 164.21, 168.14. Anal. Calcd. For. C₂₄H₁₈N₄Cl₂ (433.33): C, 66.52, H, 4.18, N, 12.92; Found: C, 66.55, H, 4.16, N, 12.94.

2-Amino-9-chloro-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carbonitrile (6c): Yield; 85%; M.p. 288°C -291°C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3392 (N-H), 3316, 3243 (NH₂), 2245 (C=N), 1645 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)=2.73 (t, 2H, J=7.2 Hz); 2.90 (t, 2H, J=4 Hz); 3.79 (p, 2H, J=6.8 Hz); 4.01 (s, 2H, NH₂); 7.19-7.24 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 10.89 (s,1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)=26.84, 31.45, 34.79, 94.49, 115.54, 117.34, 122.12, 123.34, 123.75, 124.42, 124.83, 125.24, 126.42, 128.84, 132.98, 134.23, 134.96, 135.94, 136.16, 136.64, 155.46, 161.93, 169.52. Anal. Calcd. For C₂₃H₁₅N₄Cl₃ (453.75): C, 60.88, H, 3.33, N, 12.34; Found: C, 60.89, H, 3.36, N, 12.36.

2-Amino-9-bromo-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carbonitrile (6d): Yield; 78%; M.p. 264°C -266 °C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3423 (N-H), 3371, 3291 (NH₂), 2231 (C=N), 1623 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)=2.17 (p, 2H, J=2.4 Hz); 2.88 (t, 2H, J=5.6 Hz); 3.15 (t, 2H, J=6.4 Hz); 4.05 (s, 2H, NH₂); 7.09-7.80 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 11.64 (s,1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)=21.56, 22.96, 24.30, 88.24, 113.27, 117.56, 120.24, 120.35, 122.63, 123.88, 125.22, 126.74, 126.92, 128.84, 132.22, 134.46, 135.23, 135.94, 137.65, 139.43, 156.25, 163.14, 164.19. Anal. Calcd For C₂₃H₁₅N₄Cl₂Br (498.20): C, 55.44, H, 3.03, N, 11.24; Found: C, 55.46, H, 3.06, N, 11.26.

General procedure for the ynthesis of cyclohepta[b]indoles (7a-d)

A mixture of 7,8,9,10-Tetrahydro-5H-cyclohepta[b]indol-6-one derivatives (1a-d, 1 mmol), 2,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde (2, 1 mmol), ethyl cyanoacetate (4, 1 mmol), ammonium acetate (5, 1 mmol) and L-proline (1 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml) was refluxed on a oil bath for 2 hr. The reaction was monitored by TLC, after the completion of the reaction the excess solvent was removed. The precipitate formed was dissolved in ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate. Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. It was then purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether: ethyl acetate (98:2) as eluent to yield the respective compounds 7a-d.

2-Amino-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (7a): Yield; 72%; M.p. 256°C -257 °C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3425 (N-H), 3401, 3341 (NH₂), 1695 (C=O), 1635 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)= 1.73 (t, 3H, J=7.2 Hz); 2.16 (p,2H, J= 3.2 Hz); 3.13 (t, 2H, J=6.4 Hz); 3.26 (t, 2H, J=2.8 Hz); 4.67 (s, 2H, NH₂); 5.05 (q, 2H, OCH₂CH₃, J=7.6 Hz); 7.28-7.70 (m, 7H, Ar-H); 11.48 (s,1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)=15.49, 17.57, 19.76, 25.85, 49.26, 103.93, 112.70, 113.41, 122.26, 123.49, 125.15, 126.77, 127.45, 128.58, 130.32, 132.63, 134.76, 136.62, 137.45, 137.88, 138.32, 156.31, 158.76, 168.66, 169.32. Anal. Calcd For C₂₅H₂₁N₃O₂Cl₂ (466.35): C, 64.38, H, 4.53, N, 9.01; Found: C, 64.39, H, 4.56, N, 9.03.

2-Amino-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-9-methyl-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (**7b**): Yield; 66%; M.p. 248°C -250 °C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3428 (N-H), 3407, 3375 (NH₂), 1710 (C=O), 1632 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)= 1.30 (t, 3H, J=8 Hz); 1.85 (t, 2H, J=6 Hz); 2.05 (p,2H, J= 10.4 Hz); 2.47 (s, 3H, CH₃); 2.95 (t, 2H, J=10 Hz); 3.99 (s, 2H, NH₂); 4.31 (q, 2H, OCH₂CH₃, J=6.4 Hz); 7.20-7.83 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 11.80 (s,1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)= 15.56, 17.26, 18.82, 22.71, 27.32, 54.06, 104.07, 113.32, 114.48, 123.59, 124.53, 125.80, 126.19, 126.92, 127.50, 128.38, 129.47, 130.28, 130.90, 136.56, 137.35, 138.38, 154.47, 156.68, 160.53, 167.36. Anal. Calcd For C₂₆H₂₃N₃O₂Cl₂ (480.38): C, 65, H, 4.82, N, 8.74; Found: C, 65.02, H, 4.83, N, 8.73.

2-Amino-9-chloro-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (**7c**): Yield; 76%; M.p. 269°C -271 °C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3437 (N-H), 3398, 3257 (NH₂), 1715 (C=O), 1641 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)=1.79 (t, 3H, J=6.8 Hz); 2.20 (p,2H, J= 8 Hz); 2.35 (t, 2H, J=2.4 Hz); 2.85 (t, 2H, J=6 Hz); 3.87 (s, 2H, NH₂); 4.36 (q, 2H, OCH₂CH₃, J=5.6 Hz); 7.19-

Velmurugan R et al.

7.70 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 11.04 (s,1H, indole-NH); 13 C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)= 16.30, 20.29, 21.90, 26.09, 52.20, 102.24, 114.32, 114.98, 123.05, 123.84, 124.95, 125.69, 126.75, 128.03, 128.82, 129.10, 131.02, 132.81, 137.13, 137.83, 138.42, 152.03, 152.92, 164.51, 167.13. Anal. Calcd For C₂₅H₂₀N₃O₂Cl₃ (500.80): C, 59.95, H, 4.02, N, 8.39; Found: C, 59.97, H, 4.04, N, 8.36.

2-Amino-9-bromo-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (7d): Yield; 73%; M.p. 292°C -294 °C; FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3451 (N-H), 3415, 3316 (NH₂), 1699 (C=O), 1618 (C=N); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm)= 1.21 (t, 3H, J=4 Hz); 1.62 (p,2H, J= 2.8 Hz); 2.29 (t, 2H, J=3.6 Hz); 2.52 (t, 2H, J=8.4 Hz); 3.96 (s, 2H, NH₂); 4.40 (q, 2H, OCH₂CH₃, J=2 Hz); 7.43-7.66 (m, 6H, Ar-H); 10.72 (s,1H, indole-NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm)= 19.45, 21.86, 24.34, 26.83, 48.36, 103.70, 116.59, 117.87, 120.46, 122.42, 123.14, 124.53, 125.11, 125.89, 128.10, 128.96, 132.95, 136.68, 137.22, 137.81, 138.49, 153.14, 154.36, 165.43, 166.28. Analytical Calculated for C₂₅H₂₀N₃O₂Cl₂Br (545.25): C, 55.06, H, 3.69, N, 7.70; Found: C, 55.09, H, 3.66, N, 7.73.

Biological Study

Antimicrobial activity

All the microbial cultures were subjected to analyze their susceptibility/resistance pattern to test samples by well diffusion method [19], using Mueller Hinton agar medium (Cat. No. M1084, HiMedia, India) for bacteria and Sabrose Dextrose Agar medium for fungus. Sterile medium was dispensed into sterile petri dishes aseptically. Enriched both cultures (24 h for bacteria and 48 h for fungus, incubated) were used as inoculums. Using sterile cotton swab, the test organisms were swabbed over the surface of the agar plate aseptically. In each of these plates, wells (10 mm) were cut out using sterile cork borer. The samples were dissolved in the DMF (Dimethyl formamide) and different concentration (20-80 μ g/ml) of the sample was loaded onto the wells. Incubate the plates at 37°C (for 24 h for bacteria and 96 h for fungus) upright position of the plates. Solvent was used as control. After the incubation, the diameters of inhibition zones were observed. The studies were performed in triplicate and the average reading was taken. The inhibition zones were compared with those of reference disc.

DNA Cleavage

The DNA cleavage activity of the cyclohepta[*b*]indole derivatives (6,7a-d) were monitored by agarose gel electrophoresis method [20] on pUC19 DNA. The cleavage was monitored by 10 μ l of compounds in tris–HCl buffer and 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1 μ l of pUC19 DNA. The samples with sufficient buffer were incubated for about 2 h in at 37°C. After incubation, 1 μ l of gel loading dye (8 mg of bromophenol blue, 3 ml of glycerol and 10 ml of distilled water) was added to the reaction mixture and loaded onto a 2% agarose gel containing 1 μ l of ethidium bromide. The electrophoresis was carried out for about 2 h at 50 V in tris–acetic acid EDTA (TAE) buffer. The bands were visualized under UV light and photographed.

DNA Binding

Binding affinity of the compound with CT-DNA were carried out in double distilled water with tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (Tris, 5 mm) and sodium chloride (50 mm). The pH was adjusted to 7.2 using hydrochloric acid. A solution of CT-DNA in the buffer gave a ratio of UV absorbance of about 1.8-1.9 at 260 and 280 nm, indicating that the DNA was sufficiently free of protein. The DNA concentration per nucleotide was determined by absorption spectroscopy using the molar extinction coefficient value of $6600 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 260 nm. The compound was dissolved in a mixed solvent of 5% DMSO and 95% tris-HCl buffer for all the experiments. Stock solutions were stored at 4°C and used within 4 days. Adsorption titration experiments were performed with a fixed concentration of the compound (10 µm) with varying concentration of DNA (0-50 µm). While, measuring the absorption spectra an equal amount of DNA was added to all the test solutions and reference solution to eliminate the absorbance of DNA itself.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry

The synthetic pathway of the designed compounds 6a-d and 7a-d were shown in Scheme 1. The study was commence by scrutinizing the one pot Knoevenagel condensation reaction of 7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-5H-cyclohepta[b]indol-6-one (1a-d), 2,4-dichlorobenaldehye (2) with malononitrile (3) and ammonium acetate (5) using organocatalyst L-proline yielded corresponding compounds 2-amino-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diaza-dibenzo[a,e] azulene-3-carbonitrile (6a-d). The IR spectrum of 6a displayed absorption bands at 3325, 3251 and 2207 cm⁻¹ assigned to NH₂ and C N stretching vibrations respectively. Its ¹H-NMR spectrum exhibits a broad singlet at δ 4.426 and δ 11.45 ppm corroborating the presence of NH₂ and indole NH groups. Aromatic protons materialize in the region of δ 7.08-7.56 ppm and the aliphatic protons shows one pentet at δ 2.37 and two triplets at δ 2.88 and δ 3.27 ppm C₆ and C₇,C₅ protons respectively. The total number of protons matched perfectly with its structure.

In continuation of this work, the next part is to synthesis of 2-amino-4-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5,6,7,12-tetrahydro-1,12-diazadibenzo[a,e]azulene-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (7a-d) by one pot Knoevenagel condensation reaction of 7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-5Hcyclohepta[b]indol-6-one (1a-d), 2,4-dichlorobenaldehye (2) with ethylcyano acetate (4) and ammonium acetate (5) using L-proline as an organocatalyst in dry ethanol. The significant stretching vibrations of compounds 7a-d were proven by IR spectra, which revealed the presence of carbonyl, amino and indole NH stretching frequencies in their respective range. The ¹H-NMR spectra of compounds 7a, the amino protons resonates at δ 4.67 ppm. The C-3 substituted ethyl group proton appears at δ 1.73 and δ 5.50 ppm. In addition the appearance of 7 aromatic protons emerged in the range of δ 7.28-7.70 ppm. The ¹³C-NMR spectra exhibit the appropriate carbon skeleton. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum revealed the presence of 25 carbons. The structures of all compounds (6a-d and 7a-d) were confirmed by elemental and spectral analyses.



Scheme 1: One pot synthesis of compounds 6a-d and 7a-d

Biological Study

Antimicrobial

A series of compounds (6,7a-d) were tested against bacterial strains such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Klebsiella pneuminiae*, *Escherichia coli* and fungal strains is *Aspergillus niger* and *Candida tropicalis*. All the synthesized compounds, except 7b were exhibited good activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and compounds 6a, 6a, 7c and 7a were showed moderate activity against *Bacillus licheniformis*. For the inhibition of bacteria *Klebsiella pneuminiae*, the active compounds are 6b, 6c, 6a, 7d, 7c and 7b. Among all the synthesized compounds 3b was the one with activity against *Shigella dysenteriae* bacteria. Furthermore, Compounds 6b, 6d, 7b and 7d moderately inhibited the growth of the *Escherichia coli*. All the prepared derivatives showed good antifungal activity. The growth of the *Aspergillus niger* were significantly inhibited by the compounds 6a, 6b, 7a and 7b. Compounds 6c, 6d and 7d were exhibited potent activity against *Candida tropicalis*. From the results, it was clear that the compounds 6c, 6d, 7c and 7d possess heterocyclic unit with halogen atoms responsible for antimicrobial activities. The zones of inhibition (in mm) of synthesized compounds were summarized in Table 1.

Zone of inhibition (mm)																																								
Na me of org ani sm	6a					6b					6с					6d					7a					7b					7c					7d				
	С	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	C	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	С	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	C	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	С	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	C	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	С	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0	С	2 0	4 0	6 0	8 0
P.a eru gin osa a	-	-	-	1 7	1 9	-	1 1	1 2	1 4	1 6	-	-	1 2	1 3	1 5	-	-	-	-	1 6	-	-	1 4	1 8	2 5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 4	1 5	1 6	-	-	-	-	1 7
B.li che nifo rmi s ^a	-	-	-	-	1 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 4	1 6	1 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 5	1 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 4	1 6	1 8	-	-	-	-	-
K.p neu min iae ^a	-	-	-	1 2	1 8	-	-	-	1 5	1 8	-	-	-	1 3	1 5	-	-	-	-	1 3	-	-	1 3	1 8	2 0	-	-	-	-	1 2	-	-	1 2	1 6	1 9	-	1 0	1 1	1 3	1 5
S. dys ente riae a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 6	2 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E.C oli ^a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2	1 4	1 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2	1 4	1 8	2 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2	1 4	1 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2	1 4
A.N iger b	-	-	-	-	1 3	-	-	-	-	1 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 6	1 7	1 9	-	1 6	1 7	2 0	2 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C. trop ical is ^b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 6	2 2	-	-	1 5	1 6	1 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 4	1 6

^aBacterial strain; ^bFungal strain

Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of prepared compounds 6a-d and 7a-d

DNA Cleavage

The DNA cleavage activity was determined using gel electrophoresis method. The pictures of the gels are presented in Figure 1. The gel after electrophoresis clearly revealed that, all the tested compounds have been act on the pUC19 DNA as little tailing in the bands can be observed in treated compounds. The difference was observed in bands of all the compounds compared to the control DNA, this shows that the control DNA alone does not show any apparent cleavage as the compounds have been done. It can be concluded that the compound inhibits the growth of the pathogenic organism by cleaving the genome.



Lane 1- DNA; Lane 3- DNA + compound 0.5 µM; Lane 4- DNA+ Compound 10 µM; Lane 5- DNA+ compound 15 µM; Lane 6- DNA+ compound 20 µM; Lane 6- DNA+ compound 25 µM

Figure 1: DNA Cleavage representation images activity of potent compounds 6c and 7c

DNA Binding

Electronic absorption spectroscopy is one of the most common and effective technique for investigation of the binding modes of organic compounds with CT-DNA. Compound binding though intercalation usually results in hypochromism with or without small red or blue shift, since the intercalative mode involves a strong interaction between the aromatic chromophore and the base pairs of DNA. The binding mode of the compound 6c and 7c has been characterized though absorbance and shifts in the wavelength as a function of added concentration of DNA. Upon addition of increasing amounts of CT-DNA, a significant hypochromism is observed (Figure 2). This can be attributed to a strong interaction between DNA. In order to illustrate quantitatively the consequence, the absorption data were analyzed to evaluate the intrinsic binding constant (Kb), which can be determined from the following equation,

$$DNA/(\epsilon a \cdot \epsilon f) = [DNA]/(\epsilon b \cdot \epsilon f) + 1/Kb (\epsilon b \cdot \epsilon f)$$

Where [DNA] is the concentration of DNA in base pairs, the apparent absorption coefficient ϵa , ϵf and ϵb corresponds to Aobs/[compound], the extinction coefficient of the free compound and the extinction coefficient of the compound when fully bound to DNA, respectively. From the plot of DNA/($\epsilon a - \epsilon f$) versus [DNA], Kb is calculated by the ratio of slope to the intercept. The magnitude of intrinsic binding constant (Kb) value for 6c is 3.74×10^4 and 7c is 6.73×10^4 . From the above DNA binding results, it is obvious that the synthesized compound has planarity and extended π system which lead to the possibility of DNA intercalation.



Figure 2: Electronic absorption spectra of compound 6c and 7c (25 mM) in the absence and presence of increasing amounts of CT DNA (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μM). Arrows show the changes in absorbance with respect to an increase in the DNA concentration (Insert: Plot of [DNA] // εa/ εf).

CONCLUSION

We have described one pot multicomponent reaction method for the preparation of cyclohepta[b]indole derivatives from simple available starting materials. The cyclohepta[b]indole derivatives have been characterized by spectral and elemental analysis. The antimicrobial results revealed that compounds with electron withdrawing groups showed potent activity and found that compounds 6c, 7c, 7d has great inhibitory activity than the others. All of the obtained derivatives showed significant ability to cleave the pUC19 DNA, especially compounds 6c and 7c. These compounds further tested for their DNA binding capability, it showed that the compound has significant hypochomism. This can be attributed to a strong interaction between DNA. These results are suggesting that the synthesized active compounds can be better candidates for future investigations to produce new drugs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are gratefully acknowledged Sophisticated Analytical Instrument facility (SAIF), Kochi and Karunya University, Coimbatore for

Velmurugan R et al.

their technical support in NMR and biological studies. One of the authors A.A thanks the UGC-Hyderabad (MRP No. 4997/14 (SERO-UGC) for financial support.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Huang, D. Hu, J. Wang, J.P. Wan, Y. Liu, Tetrahedron. Lett., 2015, 56, 20, 2551-2554.

[2] M. Beyrati, M. Forutan, A. Hasaninejad, E. Rakovský, S. Babaei, A. Maryamabadi, G. Mohebbi, Tetrahedron., 2017, 73, 5144-5152.

[3] S.B. Dongare, H.V. Chavan, P.S. Bhale, Y.B. Mule, A.S. Kotmale, B.P. Bandgara, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, **2016**, 27, 99-103.
[4] Y.H. He, J.F. Cao, R. Li, Y. Xiang, D.C. Yang, Z. Guan, *Tetrahedron.*, **2015**, 71, 49, 9299-9306.

[5] B. Banerjee, Ultrason. Sonochem., 2017, 35, 15-35.

[6] R. Jiang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhou, Tetrahedron., 2016, 72, 6444-6449.

[7] G.M. Ariza, N. McConnell, C. Hulme, Org Lett., 2016, 18, 8, 1864-1867.

[8] C. Pasko, A.A. Dissanayake, B.S. Billow, A.L. Odom, Tetrahedron., 2016, 72, 9, 1168-1176.

[9] M. Bakherad, A. Keivanloo, S. Jajarmi, Tetrahedron., 2012, 68, 2107-2112.

[10] B. Li, S. Guo, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, X. Fan, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 11, 5444-5456.

[11] N.V. Lakshmi, P. Thirumurugan, K.M. Noorulla, P.T. Perumal, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 5054-5061.

[12] S. Gupta, P. Maurya, A. Upadhyay, P. Kushwaha, S. Krishna, M.I. Siddiqi, K.V. Sashidhara, D. Banerjee, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2018, 143, 1981-1996.

[13] H. Mirzaei, M. Shokrzadeh, M. Modanloo, A. Ziar, G.H. Riazi, S. Emami, Bioorg. Chem., 2018, 75, 86-98.

[14] Z. Shi, Z. Zhao, M. Huang, X. Fu, C. R. Chim., 2015, 18, 12, 1320-1327.

[15] G. Mei, H. Yuan, Y. Gu, W. Chen, L.W. Chung, C.C. Li, Angew. Chem., 2014, 126, 11231-11235.

[16] P. Goswami, A.J. Borah, P. Phukan, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 1, 438-446.

[17] E. Yamuna, R.A. Kumar, M. Zeller, K.J.R. Prasad, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2012, 47, 228-238.

[18] E. Stempel, T. Gaich, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 2390-2402.

[19] A.W. Bauer, W.M. Kirby, J.C. Sherris, M. Turck, Am. J. Clin. Pathol., 1966, 45, 4, 493-496.

[20] J. Sambrook, E.F. Fritsch, T. Maniatis, Molecular Cloning, a Laboratory Manual, second ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989.