Synthesis and antibacterial evaluation of some N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-amines
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ABSTRACT

A series of Schiff’s bases i.e., N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines were synthesized from 2-amino-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole and evaluated for their in vitro antibacterial activity. Reaction of thiosemicarbazide with propanoic acid in presence of concentrated sulfuric acid furnished the compound which on further reaction with different p-substituted benzaldehydes yielded the Schiff’s bases. These compounds were characterized by spectral analysis. All the synthesized compounds were screened for their in vitro for their antibacterial activity against two Gram positive bacterial strains (Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus) and two Gram negative bacterial strains (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were determined.
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INTRODUCTION

The vast use of chemotherapeutic agents for the cure of infectious diseases leads to the growth of microbial resistance to existing drugs. The development of resistance to the major classes of antibacterial drugs is recognized as a major health concern of global population. This becomes the challenge for the medicinal chemists for the synthesis of novel antimicrobial drugs having a different mechanism of action to discard the problem of multi-drug resistance [1]. Heterocyclic compounds continue to attract considerable interest due to their diverse biological activities. Amongst them five membered heterocyclic compounds occupy a unique place in the field of natural and synthetic organic chemistry. Five membered heterocycles like 1, 3, 4-thiadiazole and their derivatives possess interesting biological activities. When various functional groups are attached to1, 3, 4-thiadiazole nucleus, the resulting compounds interact with biological receptors and show outstanding properties. Compounds containing 1,3,4-thiadiazole nucleus have been reported as antitumor agent [2], potent inhibitors of 5-lipoxygenase and cyclooxygenase [3], antimicrobials [4], anti-tuberculosis [5, 6], anti-inflammatory [7], antidepressant and anxiolytics [8], anticancer [9, 10], anthelmintic [11] etc. These reports including our ongoing research program in the field of synthesis and antimicrobial activity of medicinally important compounds [12-15] inspired us to undertake the synthesis of some N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines. The synthesized compounds were characterized on the basis of IR and H NMR spectral data. All the compounds were screened for their in vitro antibacterial activity against two Gram positive strains (Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus) and two Gram negative strains (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) respectively and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were also determined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemistry
All the chemical and reagents used were of analytical grade and all the reaction were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel G as stationary phase, different solvent systems as mobile phase and iodine vapors as detecting agent. Melting points of the compounds were determined in open capillary tube by Decible Melting Point Apparatus and were uncorrected. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance II 400 NMR Spectrometer using tetra-methyl silane as internal standard. Infrared Spectra were recorded by Perkins Elmer IR spectrophotometer using KBr pellets.

Synthesis of 2-amino-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole (1)
Synthesis of compound 1 was carried out according to the procedure reported in the literature [16]. Propanoic acid (0.15 M) and thiosemicarbazide (0.125 M.) in concentrated sulfuric acid (25 mL) were heated at 80-90 °C on thermostatically controlled water bath for about 7 hr. After cooling, the content was poured on crushed ice. The acid was neutralized with dilute ammonia solution. The crude product was filtered and washed with several time with cold distilled water and then recrystallized from hot distilled water.

General procedure for the synthesis of N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines (2a-h)
2-Amino-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole (0.01 M) and different p-substituted benzaldehydes (0.011 M) were refluxed in methanol in presence of few drops of glacial acetic acid for about 4 hr. After completion of reaction excess of methanol was distilled off under reduced pressure. The crude product so obtained was recrystallized from methanol.

Physicochemical data of the title compounds are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Physicochemical data of N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Molecular Formula</th>
<th>M.P. (°C)</th>
<th>% Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}N,S</td>
<td>231-234</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}CIN,S</td>
<td>124-127</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}BrN,S</td>
<td>143-146</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>NO_{2}</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}N,O,S</td>
<td>134-138</td>
<td>74.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}F,N,S</td>
<td>167-171</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2f</td>
<td>OCH_{3}</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}N,O,S</td>
<td>142-144</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2g</td>
<td>CH_{3}</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}N,S</td>
<td>204-207</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>C_{n}H_{m}N,O,S</td>
<td>187-192</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spectral Data
N-(benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2a)
IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 644 (C–S–C), 1078 (Ar), 1029 (N–N), 1688 (C=O), 1569 (C=N), 800 (p-di-substituted benzene);
¹H NMR (DMSO, d₆, ppm): 7.01–7.14 (m, 4H, Ar H), 8.12 (s, 1H, CH), 2.20-2.24 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.21-1.25 (t, 3H, CH₃).

N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2b)
IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 649 (C–S–C), 1088 (Ar–Cl), 1039 (N–N), 1575 (C=N), 817 (p-di-substituted benzene);
¹H NMR (DMSO, d₆, δ ppm): 7.04-7.06 (m, 4H, Ar H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH), 2.41-2.45 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.50-1.54 (t, 3H, CH₃).

N-(4-bromobenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2c)
IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 642 (C–S–C), 1072 (Ar–Br), 1019 (N–N), 1571 (C=N), 803 (p-di-substituted benzene);
¹H NMR (DMSO, d₆, δ ppm): 7.07-7.17 (m, 4H, Ar H), 8.09 (s, 1H, CH), 2.41-2.45 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.50-1.54 (t, 3H, CH₃).

N-(4-flurobenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2d)
IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 640 (C–S–C), 1323 (Ar–F), 1035 (N–N), 1579 (C=N), 817 (p-di-substituted benzene);
¹H NMR (DMSO, d₆, δ ppm): 7.07-7.19 (m, 4H, Ar H), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH), 2.31-2.35 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.2-1.6 (t, 3H, CH₃).

N-(4-nitrobenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2e)
IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 649 (C–S–C), 1327 (Ar–NO₂), 1035 (N–N), 1577 (C=N), 814 (p-di-substituted benzene);
¹H NMR (DMSO, d₆, δ ppm): 7.03-7.18 (m, 4H, Ar H), 8.06 (s, 1H, CH), 2.31-2.35 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.5-1.9 (t, 3H, CH₃).
N-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2f)
IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 648 (C–S–C), 1329 (Ar–OC\(\text{H}_3\)), 1020 (N–N), 1570 (C=N), 809 (p-di-substituted benzene);
\(^1\)H NMR (DMSO, \(d_6\), δ ppm): 7.02-7.19 (m, 4H, Ar\(\text{H}\)), 8.09 (s, 1H, CH), 2.24-2.28 (q, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 0.99-1.03 (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)).

N-(4-methylbenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2g)
IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 646 (C–S–C), 1323 (Ar–CH\(_3\)), 1032 (N–N), 1572 (C=N), 811 (p-di-substituted benzene);
\(^1\)H NMR (DMSO, \(d_6\), δ ppm): 7.05-7.16 (m, 4H, Ar\(\text{H}\)), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH), 2.33-2.37 (q, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 1.1-1.5 (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)).

N-(4-hydroxybenzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine (2h)
IR (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 650 (C–S–C), 3300 (Ar-OH), 1039 (N–N), 1575 (C=N), 815 (p-di-substituted benzene);
\(^1\)H NMR (DMSO, \(d_6\), δ ppm): 7.06-7.20 (m, 4H, Ar\(\text{H}\)), 8.10 (s, 1H, CH), 2.47-2.51 (q, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 0.94-0.98 (t, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 4.9 (s, 1H, OH).

Antibacterial activity
All the title compounds were screened for their in vitro antibacterial activity against two Gram positive strains, i.e., Bacillus subtilis (MTCC 16) and Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 3160) and two Gram negative strains, i.e., Escherichia coli (MTCC 40) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MTCC 424) respectively. Ciprofloxacin was used as the standard drug for the present study. Serial two fold dilution technique was used for the study of antibacterial activity [17]. A stock solution (10 µg/mL) of all the title compounds and standard drug was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide. Sterilized double strength nutrient broth (DSNB) was used as a growth media. The stock solution was serially diluted by DSNB aseptically to give concentrations of 5.0–0.01 µg/ml into a series of sterilized culture tubes. All the tubes were inoculated by bacterial strain. The inoculum’s size was approximately 10\(^6\) colony forming units (CFU/mL). The inoculated tubes were incubated for 24 hr at 37(±1) °C. After 24 hr, the inoculated culture tubes were macroscopically examined for turbidity. The culture tube showing turbidity (lower concentration) and the culture tube showing no turbidity (higher concentration) gave the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the compound. The MIC for the title compounds and the standard drug, i.e., ciprofloxacin are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Antibacterial activity of N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC µg/ mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. aureus (MTCC 3160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2f</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2g</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry
The syntheses of N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines were achieved following the steps outlined in the Scheme 1. Cyclization of thiosemicarbazide with propanoic acid in presence of sulfuric acid furnished 5-ethyl-2-amino-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole 1. Reaction of compound 1 with different p-substituted benzaldehydes in presence of few drops of glacial acetic acid yielded the Schiff’s bases i.e., N-(p-substituted benzylidene)-5-ethyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amines 2. All the compounds were obtained in good yield. All the compounds were characterized by spectral analysis. The IR spectra of each compounds show a band for (C–S–C) stretching vibrations near 644 cm\(^{-1}\) and (N–N) stretching vibrations were observed near 1023 cm\(^{-1}\). The bending vibrations for p-di-substituted benzene were appeared in the range of 800-820 cm\(^{-1}\). In case of \(^1\)H NMR, the chemical shift value for methyl and methylene protons appeared as triplet and quartet at 2.20-2.41 δ (ppm) and 0.94-1.90 δ (ppm) respectively whereas methine proton was appeared as singlet and observed at 8.06-8.10 δ (ppm). The chemical shift value for aromatic protons was observed in the range of 7.01-7.14 δ (ppm) and appeared as multiplet.
Antibacterial Activity

All the synthesized title compounds were screened for their in vitro antibacterial activity against two Gram positive bacterial strains i.e., Bacillus subtilis (MTCC 16) and Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 3160) and two Gram negative bacterial strains i.e., Escherichia coli (MTCC 40) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MTCC 424) respectively and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were determined. A perusal of the table 2 shows that all the title compounds were found to be active against all the bacterial strains used in this study. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of the title compounds 2a-h were found to be in the range of 0.95-0.50 µg/mL against all the bacterial strains screened in the present study. The MICs of the title compounds containing electron withdrawing groups like fluoro, chloro, bromo or nitro were found somewhat less than the compounds containing electron releasing groups like methyl and methoxy. The reference standard ciprofloxacin inhibited Gram negative bacteria viz., E. coli and P. aeruginosa at a MIC of 0.01µg/mL and 0.25µg/mL respectively whereas against Gram positive bacteria viz., S. aureus and B. subtilis MIC was found to be 0.15µg/ml and 0.12µg/mL respectively. The results of the MIC for the standard drug, ciprofloxacin, against the bacterial strains used were found to be within the range as reported in the literature [18-20].

CONCLUSION

Present study describes the synthesis of a series of Schiff's bases of 5-ethyl-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-amine. The compounds were characterized by spectral techniques such as IR and proton NMR spectra. All the title compounds were screened for their in vitro antibacterial activity against Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus (Gram positive) and Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Gram negative) and their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) were determined. The results of antibacterial activity showed that compounds containing
electron withdrawing groups e.g., chloro, bromo, fluoro or nitro were found to be more active than the compounds containing electron releasing groups such as methyl and methoxy. These results suggest that some more compounds using different aliphatic acids and hetero-aromatic aldehydes or ketones should be synthesized and screened for their antibacterial activity to explore the possibility of Schiff’s bases of 5-alkyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole-2-amine as a new series of antibacterials.
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